



# Superconvergence of the Local Discontinuous Galerkin Method for One Dimensional Nonlinear Convection-Diffusion Equations

Xiaobin Liu<sup>1</sup> · Dazhi Zhang<sup>1</sup> · Xiong Meng<sup>2</sup> · Boying Wu<sup>2</sup>

Received: 2 September 2020 / Revised: 12 January 2021 / Accepted: 26 February 2021  
© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2021

## Abstract

In this paper, we study superconvergence properties of the local discontinuous Galerkin (LDG) methods for solving nonlinear convection-diffusion equations in one space dimension. The main technicality is an elaborate estimate to terms involving projection errors. By introducing a new projection and constructing some correction functions, we prove the  $(2k + 1)$ th order superconvergence for the cell averages and the numerical flux in the discrete  $L^2$  norm with polynomials of degree  $k \geq 1$ , no matter whether the flow direction  $f'(u)$  changes or not. Superconvergence of order  $k + 2$  ( $k + 1$ ) is obtained for the LDG error (its derivative) at interior right (left) Radau points, and the convergence order for the error derivative at Radau points can be improved to  $k + 2$  when the direction of the flow doesn't change. Finally, a supercloseness result of order  $k + 2$  towards a special Gauss–Radau projection of the exact solution is shown. The superconvergence analysis can be extended to the generalized numerical fluxes and the mixed boundary conditions. All theoretical findings are confirmed by numerical experiments.

**Keywords** Local discontinuous Galerkin method · Nonlinear convection-diffusion equation · Superconvergence · Correction function · Projection

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11971132, 11971131, U1637208), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Grant No. HIT. NSRIF. 2020081) and the National Key Research and Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (2020B1515310006).

✉ Xiong Meng  
xiongmeng@hit.edu.cn

Xiaobin Liu  
liuxiaobin@hit.edu.cn

Dazhi Zhang  
zhangdazhi@hit.edu.cn

Boying Wu  
mathwby@hit.edu.cn

<sup>1</sup> School of Mathematics, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, Heilongjiang, China

<sup>2</sup> School of Mathematics and Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, Heilongjiang, China

17 **Mathematics Subject Classification** 65M12 · 65M6018 **1 Introduction**

19 In this paper, we investigate superconvergence of the local discontinuous Galerkin (LDG)  
20 method for one-dimensional nonlinear convection-diffusion equations

$$21 \quad u_t + f(u)_x - bu_{xx} = g(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in [0, 2\pi] \times (0, T], \quad (1.1a)$$

$$22 \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in [0, 2\pi], \quad (1.1b)$$

23 where  $b > 0$  is a constant,  $u_0$  is smooth, and  $g$  is a smooth function. We assume that the  
24 nonlinear flux function  $f(u)$  is sufficiently smooth with respect to the variable  $u$ , and the  
25 exact solution is assumed to be smooth on  $[0, 2\pi] \times [0, T]$  for a fixed  $T$ . The main task  
26 in deriving superconvergence is a delicate treatment for terms involving projection errors.  
27 By defining a new projection and constructing some correction functions, superconvergence  
28 properties for Radau points, cell averages and supercloseness are shown. Both the periodic  
29 boundary condition and mixed boundary conditions are considered.

30 As a class of efficient methods for solving partial differential equations (PDEs) involving  
31 high order spatial derivatives, the LDG method was proposed by [17] for convection-diffusion  
32 equations. Typically, an auxiliary variable will be introduced so that the standard discontin-  
33 uous Galerkin (DG) methods can be applied to the resulting first-order system. Due to its  
34 numerical stability and local solvability of auxiliary variables, the LDG method has been  
35 widely used for solving a series of high order equations; see, e.g., [9,15].

36 In addition to optimal error estimates of LDG methods, the research of superconvergence  
37 has been a hot topic in recent years. Superconvergence results for Radau points have been  
38 obtained by using the Fourier approach [18] and the finite element technique [8,10,14] for  
39 different types of PDEs. Suboptimal supercloseness results of order  $k + 3/2$  (with  $k$  being the  
40 polynomial degree) is proved for linear convection-diffusion equations in [12], which was  
41 latter improved to be sharp of order  $k + 2$  in [23]. There is another kind of superconvergence,  
42 which is measured in the  $L^2$  norm for post-processed errors. For linear hyperbolic equations,  
43 [16] proved that the post-processed solution through a convolution with some kernel functions  
44 is of order  $2k + 1$  superconvergent to the exact solution. Based on the duality argument and  
45 divided different estimates, the post-processing technique is extended to linear convection-  
46 diffusion equations in [19] and nonlinear symmetric systems of hyperbolic conservation laws  
47 in [20].

48 Recently, a systematic way via constructing special interpolation functions was success-  
49 fully applied to the DG and LDG methods for linear hyperbolic and parabolic equations in  
50 [5,6]. Moreover, for nonlinear scalar conservation laws, by suitably choosing a local projec-  
51 tion and analyzing correction functions, [4] proved that the order between the DG solution  
52 and the particular projection can achieves  $(k + 2)$ th order when the direction of the flow  
53 doesn't change, and the order is less than  $k + 2$  when  $f'(u)$  changes its sign. Also, the DG  
54 flux function  $f(u_h)$  is proved to be superconvergent to a particular flux function of the exact  
55 solution.

56 In current paper, we aim at analyzing the superconvergence properties of LDG methods  
57 for nonlinear convection-diffusion equations. Different from using a weighed projection and  
58 a special operator for constructing correction function when  $f'(u)$  is fixed or introducing a  
59 special projection consisting of four local projections when  $f'(u)$  does change its sign in [4],  
60 we propose a new approach based on the balance of leading errors between the nonlinear

61 convection term and the diffusion term. To this end, we construct a new combined projection  
 62  $\Pi(u, q) = (P_h^- u, \mathbb{P}_h^+ q)$  depending on the “reference” numerical flux  $\tilde{f}$ . To be more specific,  
 63 the standard local Gauss–Radau projection  $P_h^- u$  is used to eliminate the boundary term and  
 64 integral term resulting from the prime variable  $u$  for the diffusion term, while  $\mathbb{P}_h^+$  plays  
 65 the role in dealing with difficulties coming from the auxiliary variable  $q$  and the nonlinear  
 66 convection term  $f(u)$ . When the direction of the flow doesn’t change, the projection  $\mathbb{P}_h^+$  is  
 67  $(k + 2)$ th order superclose to the local Gauss–Radau projection  $P_h^+$ . Further, some special  
 68 interpolation functions consisting of the difference between the newly designed projections  
 69 and correction functions are constructed. The interpolation function is thus superclose to  
 70 the LDG solution, and superconvergence results can be obtained, which provides a solid  
 71 foundation for illustrating the inherent interactive mechanism of the leading errors between  
 72 the nonlinear convection term and the diffusion term.

73 An overview of this paper is as follows. In Sect 2, we present the semi-discrete LDG  
 74 method for nonlinear convection-diffusion problems. In Sect. 3, we introduce a new projection  
 75 and construct special correction functions, and the corresponding properties are analyzed.  
 76 Section 4 is devoted to the superconvergence analysis, in which we show superconvergence for  
 77 cell averages, Radau points as well as supercloseness. Extensions of the results to generalized  
 78 alternating fluxes, mixed boundary conditions and the auxiliary variable are given in Sect. 5. In  
 79 Sect. 6, numerical experiments are displayed that demonstrate the sharpness of our theoretical  
 80 results. We end in Sect. 7 with concluding remarks and some possible future work.

## 81 2 The LDG Scheme

82 The usual notations of the LDG method are adopted here. For any positive integer  $r$ , we  
 83 denote  $\mathbb{Z}_r = \{0, 1, \dots, r\}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_r^+ = \mathbb{Z}_r \setminus \{0\}$ . The computational domain  $\Omega = [0, 2\pi]$  is  
 84 divided into  $N$  elements with  $0 = x_{\frac{1}{2}} < x_{\frac{3}{2}} < \dots < x_{N+\frac{1}{2}} = 2\pi$ . The cell center and cell  
 85 length are denoted by  $x_j = \frac{1}{2}(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}} + x_{j+\frac{1}{2}})$  and  $h_j = x_{j+\frac{1}{2}} - x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}$ , respectively. The  
 86 following polynomial space is chosen as the finite element space

$$87 \quad V_h^k = \{v \in L^2(\Omega) : v|_{I_j} \in P^k(I_j), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}_N^+\}$$

88 with  $P^k(I_j)$  the set of polynomials of degree up to  $k$  defined on  $I_j$ . Since functions in  $V_h^k$   
 89 may be discontinuous across element boundaries, we use

$$90 \quad \{\{v\}\}_{j+\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( v_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^+ + v_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^- \right), \quad \llbracket v \rrbracket_{j+\frac{1}{2}} = v_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^+ - v_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^-$$

91 to denote the mean and jump of the function  $v$  at each element boundary point  $x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}$ , where  
 92  $v_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^+$  and  $v_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^-$  are the traces from the right and left cells.

93 Throughout this paper, we use  $W^{\ell,p}(D)$  to denote the standard Sobolev space on  $D$   
 94 equipped with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{W^{\ell,p}(D)} = \|\cdot\|_{\ell,p,D}$  with  $\ell \geq 0$ ,  $p = 2$  and  $p = \infty$ . For  
 95  $p = 2$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_{W^{\ell,2}(D)} = \|\cdot\|_{\ell,D}$ , and the subscript  $D$  will be omitted when  $D = \Omega$  with an  
 96 unmarked norm  $\|\cdot\|$  denoting the standard  $L^2$  norm on  $\Omega$ . For  $v \in H^1(\Omega)$ , the  $L^2$  norm at  
 97 cell boundaries is defined as follows:

$$98 \quad \|v\|_{\Gamma_h} = \left( \sum_{j=1}^N [(v_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^+)^2 + (v_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^-)^2] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Author Proof

As usual, by introducing an auxiliary variable  $q = \sqrt{b}u_x$ , the problem (1.1) can be written as a first order system

$$u_t + f(u)_x - \sqrt{b}q_x = g(x, t), \quad q - \sqrt{b}u_x = 0.$$

Then the semi-discrete LDG scheme is formulated as follows: find  $u_h, q_h \in V_h^k$  such that for  $\forall v, \varphi \in V_h^k$

$$\int_{I_j} (u_h)_t v \, dx + \hat{f}(u_h)v^-|_{j+\frac{1}{2}} - \hat{f}(u_h)v^+|_{j-\frac{1}{2}} - \int_{I_j} f(u_h)v_x \, dx - \sqrt{b}(\hat{q}_h v^-|_{j+\frac{1}{2}} - \hat{q}_h v^+|_{j-\frac{1}{2}} - \int_{I_j} q_h v_x \, dx) = \int_{I_j} g(x, t)v \, dx, \tag{2.1a}$$

$$\int_{I_j} q_h \varphi \, dx - \sqrt{b}(\hat{u}_h \varphi^-|_{j+\frac{1}{2}} - \hat{u}_h \varphi^+|_{j-\frac{1}{2}} - \int_{I_j} u_h \varphi_x \, dx) = 0, \tag{2.1b}$$

where  $\hat{f}(u_h)$  is the Godunov flux, i.e.,

$$\hat{f}(u_h) \triangleq \hat{f}(u_h^-, u_h^+) = \begin{cases} \min_{u_h^- \leq \omega \leq u_h^+} f(\omega), & \text{if } u_h^- < u_h^+, \\ \max_{u_h^+ \leq \omega \leq u_h^-} f(\omega), & \text{if } u_h^- \geq u_h^+, \end{cases}$$

and  $\hat{u}_h, \hat{q}_h$  are a pair of alternating fluxes. For example, one can use the following alternating fluxes

$$\hat{u}_h = u_h^-, \quad \hat{q}_h = q_h^+, \tag{2.2a}$$

or

$$\hat{u}_h = u_h^+, \quad \hat{q}_h = q_h^-. \tag{2.2b}$$

Motivated by [4], to deal with the nonlinear term, a ‘‘reference’’ numerical flux is introduced, which plays an important role in the design of new projections in Sect. 3.1 below. That is,

$$\tilde{f}(u_h) = \begin{cases} f(u_h^-), & \text{if } f'(u)|_{j+\frac{1}{2}} \geq 0, \\ f(u_h^+), & \text{if } f'(u)|_{j+\frac{1}{2}} < 0, \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

where  $u_h$  and  $u$  are the numerical solution and the exact solution of (1.1), respectively.

The LDG scheme (2.1) will be simplified if one adopts the DG spatial discretization operator given by

$$\mathcal{H}(w, v; \hat{w}) = \sum_{j=1}^N \mathcal{H}_j(w, v; \hat{w})$$

with

$$\mathcal{H}_j(w, v; \hat{w}) = -(w, v_x)_j + \hat{w}v^-|_{j+\frac{1}{2}} - \hat{w}v^+|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{2.4}$$

where  $(\cdot, \cdot)_j$  denotes the  $L^2$  inner product on  $I_j$ . By Galerkin orthogonality, one has the following cell error equation

$$\begin{aligned} ((e_u)_t, v)_j + (e_q, \varphi)_j + \mathcal{H}_j(f(u) - f(u_h), v; f(u) - \hat{f}(u_h)) \\ - \sqrt{b}\mathcal{H}_j(e_q, v; \hat{e}_q) - \sqrt{b}\mathcal{H}_j(e_u, \varphi; \hat{e}_u) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Author Proof

128 which holds for  $\forall v, \varphi \in V_h^k$  and  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_N^+$ . Here  $e_u = u - u_h, e_q = q - q_h$ . In what follows, let  
 129 us recall the local Gauss–Radau projections of a function  $\phi \in H^1(\Omega)$  into the finite element  
 130 space  $V_h^k$ , denoted by  $P_h^-$  or  $P_h^+$ , which are defined as the unique function in  $V_h^k$  such that

131 
$$(P_h^- \phi, v_h)_j = (\phi, v_h)_j, \quad \forall v_h \in P^{k-1}(I_j), \quad P_h^- \phi(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^-) = \phi(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^-), \quad (2.6a)$$

132 
$$(P_h^+ \phi, v_h)_j = (\phi, v_h)_j, \quad \forall v_h \in P^{k-1}(I_j), \quad P_h^+ \phi(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^+) = \phi(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^+). \quad (2.6b)$$

133 To facilitate analysis, we use the following Legendre expansion in each element  $I_j, j \in$   
 134  $\mathbb{Z}_N^+$ . That is, for  $\phi \in H^1(I_j)$

135 
$$\phi(x, t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \phi_{j,m}(t) L_{j,m}(x), \quad \phi_{j,m}(t) = \frac{2m+1}{h_j} (\phi, L_{j,m})_j,$$

136 where  $L_{j,m}$  denotes the rescaled Legendre polynomial of degree  $m$  on  $I_j$ , namely  $L_{j,m}(x) =$   
 137  $L_m(\frac{2(x-x_j)}{h_j})$ . By the definition of  $P_h^-, P_h^+$  in combination with the orthogonality property  
 138 of Legendre polynomials, one has

139 
$$(\phi - P_h^- \phi)(x, t) = \vec{\phi}_{j,k} L_{j,k} + \sum_{m=k+1}^{\infty} \phi_{j,m} L_{j,m}, \quad (2.7a)$$

140 
$$(\phi - P_h^+ \phi)(x, t) = \overleftarrow{\phi}_{j,k} L_{j,k} + \sum_{m=k+1}^{\infty} \phi_{j,m} L_{j,m}, \quad (2.7b)$$

141 in which  $\vec{\phi}_{j,k}, \overleftarrow{\phi}_{j,k}$  can be determined by the boundary collocation conditions in (2.6). It  
 142 reads,

143 
$$\vec{\phi}_{j,k} = \sum_{m=0}^k \phi_{j,m} - \phi(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^-), \quad \overleftarrow{\phi}_{j,k} = \sum_{m=0}^k (-1)^{k-m} \phi_{j,m} - (-1)^k \phi(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^+). \quad (2.7c)$$

144 After a simple application of the Bramble–Hilbert lemma [1, Lemma 2.2.2] and scaling  
 145 arguments, we obtain

146 
$$|\vec{\phi}_{j,k}| \leq Ch^{k+1} \|\phi\|_{k+1,\infty}, \quad |\overleftarrow{\phi}_{j,k}| \leq Ch^{k+1} \|\phi\|_{k+1,\infty},$$

147 where  $C$  is a constant independent of  $\phi$  and the mesh size  $h$ .

148 For the correction function construction procedure, the following integral operator  $D_x^{-1}$   
 149 is essential, which aims at eliminating the leading term of the error equation via integration  
 150 by parts, and thus superconvergence results can be obtained; see, e.g., [6]. That is,

151 
$$D_x^{-1} \phi(x) = \frac{1}{\bar{h}_j} \int_{x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}}^x \phi(\tau) d\tau, \quad \tau \in I_j,$$

152 where  $\bar{h}_j = h_j/2$ . Obviously,

153 
$$\phi(x) = \bar{h}_j (D_x^{-1} \phi(x))_x. \quad (2.8)$$

154 Moreover, by the properties of Legendre polynomials, for  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_k$  with  $L_{j,-1} = 0$ , we have

155 
$$D_x^{-1} L_{j,m}(x) = \frac{1}{2m+1} (L_{j,m+1} - L_{j,m-1})(x). \quad (2.9)$$

Author Proof

156 Finally, we list some inverse properties of the element space  $V_h^k$  that will be used in our  
 157 analysis. For any  $v_h \in V_h^k$ , there exists a positive constant  $C$  independent of  $v_h$  and  $h$  such  
 158 that

159  $(i) \|\partial_x v_h\| \leq Ch^{-1} \|v_h\|; (ii) \|v_h\|_{\Gamma_h} \leq Ch^{-1/2} \|v_h\|; (iii) \|v_h\|_\infty \leq Ch^{-1/2} \|v_h\|.$

160 **3 A New Projection and Correction Functions**

161 To derive superconvergence results, interpolation functions consisting of special projections  
 162 and correction functions need to be carefully designed, which are mainly used to obtain a  
 163 superconvergent bound for the contribution of projection errors; see Sect. 3.3 below. Since  
 164 the Gauss–Radau projections  $P_h^-$  or  $P_h^+$  are not sufficient to deal with the nonlinear term that  
 165 changes its flow direction, we shall first introduce a new projection, which is a modification  
 166 of  $P_h^+$ . In what follows, we mainly concentrate on the fluxes (2.2a), and the case with (2.2b)  
 167 will be discussed in Remark 3.2.

168 **3.1 A New Projection**

169 Motivated by [11, Sect. 4.2], we define the following modified projection

170 
$$\Pi(u, q) = (P_h^- u, \mathbb{P}_h^+ q),$$

171 where  $P_h^- u \in V_h^k$  has been given in (2.6a), and  $\mathbb{P}_h^+ q \in V_h^k$  depends on both  $u$  and  $q$  such  
 172 that

173 
$$\int_{I_j} (q - \mathbb{P}_h^+ q) v_h dx - \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} \int_{I_j} f'(u) (u - P_h^- u) v_h dx = 0, \quad \forall v_h \in P^{k-1}(I_j), \quad (3.1a)$$

174 
$$\mathbb{P}_h^+ q(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^+) = q(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^+) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} f'(u_{j-\frac{1}{2}}) (u - \widetilde{P_h^- u})_{j-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_N^+, \quad (3.1b)$$

175 where  $\widetilde{\cdot}$  has been defined in (2.3). It is easy to see that  $\mathbb{P}_h^+ q = P_h^+ q$  when  $f'(u) = 0$ , and  
 176  $(q - \mathbb{P}_h^+ q)_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^+ = 0$  when  $f'(u) \geq 0$ . Thus,  $\mathbb{P}_h^+$  can be viewed as an extension of the local  
 177 Gauss–Radau projection  $P_h^+$ . Moreover, for  $v \in V_h^k$  and  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_N^+$ ,  $\Pi(u, q) = (P_h^- u, \mathbb{P}_h^+ q)$   
 178 satisfies the following identity

179 
$$\mathcal{H}_j(f'(u)(u - P_h^- u), v; f'(u)(u - \widetilde{P_h^- u})) - \sqrt{b} \mathcal{H}_j(q - \mathbb{P}_h^+ q, v; (q - \mathbb{P}_h^+ q)^+) = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

180  
 181 The properties of the projection  $\mathbb{P}_h^+$  in the following lemma are essential to the proof of  
 182 superconvergence; see Lemma 3.3 below.

183 **Lemma 3.1** *Suppose  $|\partial_x^k f'(u)| \leq C$ , then the projection  $\mathbb{P}_h^+$  in (3.1) is well defined. Moreover,*  
 184 *if  $q - \mathbb{P}_h^+ q$  has the following expression in each element  $I_j$*

185 
$$q - \mathbb{P}_h^+ q|_{I_j} = \sum_{m=0}^k \bar{q}_{j,m} L_{j,m} + \sum_{m=k+1}^\infty q_{j,m} L_{j,m}, \quad (3.3)$$

186 then there holds the following results:

(1) The coefficients  $\bar{q}_{j,m}$  satisfies

$$|\bar{q}_{j,m}| \leq Ch^{2k+1-m} \|u\|_{k+2,\infty}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}_k. \tag{3.4}$$

(2) The cell average of the projection error  $q - \mathbb{P}_h^+ q$  in each element  $I_j$  is superconvergent with an order of  $2k + 1$ , i.e.,

$$\left| \frac{1}{h_j} \int_{I_j} (q - \mathbb{P}_h^+ q)(x) dx \right| \leq Ch^{2k+1} \|u\|_{k+2,\infty}.$$

Especially, when  $f'(u) \geq 0$ , namely  $(u - \widetilde{P}_h^- u)_{j-\frac{1}{2}} = (u - P_h^- u)_{j-\frac{1}{2}} = 0$ , we have the following supercloseness results.

(3)  $\mathbb{P}_h^+ q$  is superclose to the Gauss–Radau projection  $P_h^+ q$ , i.e.,

$$\|\mathbb{P}_h^+ q - P_h^+ q\|_\infty \leq Ch^{k+2} \|u\|_{k+2,\infty}. \tag{3.5}$$

(4) The function value approximation of  $\mathbb{P}_h^+ q$  is superconvergent at left Radau points  $\ell_{j,m}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_k^+$  (zeros of left Radau polynomial  $L_{j,m+1} + L_{j,m}$ ), and the derivative value approximation is superconvergent at the interior right Radau points  $r_{j,m}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_k^+$  (zeros of right Radau polynomial  $L_{j,m+1} - L_{j,m}$ , except the point  $x = x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}$ ), namely

$$|(q - \mathbb{P}_h^+ q)(\ell_{j,m})| \leq Ch^{k+2} \|q\|_{k+2,\infty}, \tag{3.6a}$$

$$|\partial_x (q - \mathbb{P}_h^+ q)(r_{j,m})| \leq Ch^{k+1} \|q\|_{k+2,\infty}. \tag{3.6b}$$

The constant  $C$  is independent of  $h$ .

**Proof** (1) Since  $\mathbb{P}_h^+ q \in V_h^k$ , we express in each element  $I_j$

$$\mathbb{P}_h^+ q|_{I_j} = \sum_{m=0}^k b_{j,m} L_{j,m}, \tag{3.7}$$

where  $b_{j,m}$  are coefficients to be determined later. Using the orthogonality of Legendre polynomials, (3.1a), and (2.6a), we obtain, for  $\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_{k-1}$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{q}_{j,m} &= \frac{2m+1}{h_j} \int_{I_j} (q - \mathbb{P}_h^+ q) L_{j,m} dx \\ &= \frac{2m+1}{\sqrt{b}h_j} \int_{I_j} f'(u)(u - P_h^- u) L_{j,m} dx \\ &= \frac{2m+1}{\sqrt{b}h_j} \int_{I_j} (f'(u) - I_{k-1-m} f'(u))(u - P_h^- u) L_{j,m} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Here and below,  $I_m w \in P^m(I_j)$  represents an interpolation of  $w$ . By the Bramble–Hilbert lemma,

$$\|f'(u) - I_{k-1-m} f'(u)\|_{\infty, I_j} \leq Ch^{k-m} \|\partial_x^{k-m} f'(u)\|_\infty \leq Ch^{k-m},$$

which yields

$$|\bar{q}_{j,m}| \leq Ch^{k-m} \|u - P_h^- u\|_{\infty, I_j} \leq Ch^{2k+1-m} \|u\|_{k+1,\infty}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}_{k-1}. \tag{3.8}$$

Author Proof

Next, let us consider the estimate to  $\bar{q}_{j,k}$ . On the one hand, by (3.7), using the definition of  $\mathbb{P}_h^+$  in (3.1b) and the fact that  $(-1)^{2m} = 1$  ( $\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_{k-1}$ ), we get

$$b_{j,k} = (-1)^k q(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^+) - \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} (-1)^{k-m} b_{j,m} - \Phi,$$

where

$$\Phi = (-1)^k \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} f'(u) (u - P_h^- u)_{j-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

On the other hand, it follows from  $q_{j,k} = -(-1)^k (-1)^{k+1} q_{j,k}$  and the expression for  $\bar{q}_{j,k}$  in (2.7c) that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{q}_{j,k} &= q_{j,k} - b_{j,k} = q_{j,k} + (-1)^{k+1} q(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^+) + \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} (-1)^{k-m} b_{j,m} + \Phi \\ &= (-1)^{k+1} \left( q(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^+) - \sum_{m=0}^k (-1)^m q_{j,m} + \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} (-1)^m \bar{q}_{j,m} \right) + \Phi \\ &= \bar{q}_{j,k} + \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} (-1)^{k-m+1} \bar{q}_{j,m} + \Phi, \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where we have also used the relation  $b_{j,m} = q_{j,m} - \bar{q}_{j,m}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{k-1}$ . Moreover,

$$|\Phi| \leq \|u - P_h^- u\|_\infty \leq Ch^{k+1} \|u\|_{k+1,\infty}.$$

Consequently,

$$|\bar{q}_{j,k}| \leq |\bar{q}_{j,k}| + \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} |\bar{q}_{j,m}| + |\Phi| \leq Ch^{k+1} \|u\|_{k+2,\infty}.$$

This completes the proof of (3.4).

(2) Using (3.3) and (3.4) in combination with the orthogonality property of Legendre polynomials, we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{h_j} \int_{I_j} (q - \mathbb{P}_h^+ q) dx \right| = \frac{1}{h_j} \left| \int_{I_j} \bar{q}_{j,0} dx \right| \leq |\bar{q}_{j,0}| \leq Ch^{2k+1} \|u\|_{k+2,\infty}.$$

(3) When  $f'(u) \geq 0$ , namely  $(u - P_h^- u)_{j-\frac{1}{2}} = 0$ , then  $\Phi = 0$ , and we can express  $P_h^+ q$  in terms of the orthogonal basis  $L_{j,m}$  ( $m \in \mathbb{Z}_k$ ) as

$$P_h^+ q = \sum_{m=0}^k q_{j,m} L_{j,m} - \bar{q}_{j,k} L_{j,k}$$

with  $\bar{q}_{j,k}$  defined in (2.7c). This, together with (3.7) and (3.9), leads to

$$\begin{aligned} P_h^+ q - \mathbb{P}_h^+ q &= \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} (q_{j,m} - b_{j,m}) L_{j,m} + (\bar{q}_{j,k} - \bar{q}_{j,k}) L_{j,k}, \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \bar{q}_{j,m} L_{j,m} + \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} (-1)^{k-m+1} \bar{q}_{j,m} L_{j,k}, \end{aligned}$$

Author Proof



239 where we have used the fact that  $q_{j,m} - b_{j,m} = \bar{q}_{j,m}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_k$ . Then, by (3.4)

240 
$$\|P_h^+ q - \mathbb{P}_h^+ q\|_{\infty, I_j} \leq \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} |\bar{q}_{j,m}| \leq Ch^{k+2} \|u\|_{k+2, \infty}, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_N.$$

241 This finishes the proof of (3.5).

242 (4) The inverse inequality  $\|\partial_x(P_h^+ q - \mathbb{P}_h^+ q)\|_{\infty, I_j} \leq Ch^{k+1} \|u\|_{k+2, \infty}$  together with the  
 243 superconvergence results for  $P_h^+$  in [7], namely

244 
$$|(q - P_h^+ q)(\ell_{j,m})| \leq Ch^{k+2} \|q\|_{k+2, \infty}, \quad |\partial_x(q - P_h^+ q)(r_{j,m})| \leq Ch^{k+1} \|q\|_{k+2, \infty}$$

245 gives us the desired results (3.6). This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1. □

246 **3.2 Correction Functions**

247 In order to construct the interpolation functions  $(u_I^\ell, q_I^\ell)$ , let us begin by defining a series of  
 248 functions  $w_{u,i}, w_{q,i} \in V_h^k$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_k^+$  as follows

249 
$$(\sqrt{b}w_{u,i} - \bar{h}_j D_x^{-1} w_{q,i-1}, v)_j = 0, \quad (w_{u,i}^-)_{j+\frac{1}{2}} = 0, \tag{3.10a}$$

250 
$$(\sqrt{b}w_{q,i} - f'(u)w_{u,i} - \bar{h}_j D_x^{-1} \partial_t w_{u,i-1}, v)_j = 0, \quad (w_{q,i}^+)_{j-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} (f'(u)\tilde{w}_{u,i})_{j-\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{3.10b}$$

251 where  $v \in P^{k-1}(I_j)$ , and

252 
$$w_{u,0} = u - P_h^- u, \quad w_{q,0} = q - \mathbb{P}_h^+ q.$$

253 Further, for any positive integer  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_k^+$ , we define in each element  $I_j$  the correction  
 254 functions

255 
$$W_u^\ell = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} w_{u,i}, \quad W_q^\ell = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} w_{q,i}, \tag{3.11}$$

256 and the special interpolation functions are

257 
$$u_I^\ell = P_h^- u - W_u^\ell, \quad q_I^\ell = \mathbb{P}_h^+ q - W_q^\ell. \tag{3.12}$$

258 The components  $w_{u,i}, w_{q,i}$  in correction functions have the following property.

259 **Lemma 3.2** *The functions  $w_{u,i}, w_{q,i}, i \in \mathbb{Z}_k^+$  defined in (3.10) are uniquely determined.*  
 260 *Moreover, suppose that  $f'(u)$  is a sufficiently smooth function satisfying*

261 
$$|\partial_x^{k+1} f'(u)| \leq C, \quad |\partial_t^{k+1} f'(u)| \leq C,$$

262 and the functions  $w_{u,i}$  and  $w_{q,i}$  in each element  $I_j$  are expressed by

263 
$$w_{u,i}|_{I_j} = \sum_{m=0}^k \beta_{i,m} L_{j,m}, \quad w_{q,i}|_{I_j} = \sum_{m=0}^k \gamma_{i,m} L_{j,m}.$$

264 Then, the coefficients  $\beta_{i,m}$  and  $\gamma_{i,m}$  satisfy

265 
$$|\partial_t^n \beta_{i,m}| \leq Ch^{\max\{k+1+i, 2k+1-m\}} \|\partial_t^n u\|_{k+i+1, \infty}, \quad n = 0, 1, \tag{3.13a}$$

266 
$$|\partial_t^n \gamma_{i,m}| \leq Ch^{\max\{k+1+i, 2k+1-m\}} \|\partial_t^n u\|_{k+i+2, \infty}, \quad n = 0, 1. \tag{3.13b}$$

Author Proof

Author Proof

As a consequence,

$$\|\partial_t w_{u,i}\|_\infty + \|w_{q,i}\|_\infty \leq Ch^{k+i+1} \|u\|_{k+i+3,\infty}, \tag{3.14a}$$

$$\|W_u^\ell\|_\infty + \|W_q^\ell\|_\infty \leq Ch^{k+2} \|u\|_{k+\ell+3,\infty}. \tag{3.14b}$$

**Proof** We prove this lemma by induction, consisting of the following two steps. Since the case with  $n = 1$  is quite similar to that with  $n = 0$ , we mainly consider the case with  $n = 0$ .

*Step I:* When  $i = 1$ , taking  $v = L_{j,m}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{k-1}$  in (3.10a) and using the orthogonality property of Legendre polynomials, we get

$$(\sqrt{b}w_{u,1} - \bar{h}_j D_x^{-1} w_{q,0}, v)_j = \left( \sqrt{b} \sum_{m=0}^k \beta_{1,m} L_{j,m} - \bar{h}_j \sum_{m=0}^k \bar{q}_{j,m} D_x^{-1} L_{j,m}, v \right)_j = 0,$$

where  $\bar{q}_{j,m}$  are the coefficients defined in (3.3). Using the relation (2.9) and the orthogonality property of Legendre polynomials again, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{b}\beta_{1,0} &= -\frac{\bar{q}_{j,1}}{3} \bar{h}_j, \\ \sqrt{b}\beta_{1,m} &= \frac{\bar{q}_{j,m-1}}{2m-1} \bar{h}_j - \frac{\bar{q}_{j,m+1}}{2m+3} \bar{h}_j, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}_{k-1}^+. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\beta_{1,0}| &\leq h|\bar{q}_{j,1}| \leq Ch^{2k+1} \|u\|_{k+1,\infty}, \\ |\beta_{1,m}| &\leq h(|\bar{q}_{j,m-1}| + |\bar{q}_{j,m+1}|) \leq Ch^{2k+1-m} \|u\|_{k+1,\infty}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}_{k-1}^+. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that  $(w_{u,1}^-)_{j+\frac{1}{2}} = 0$ , we obtain

$$|\beta_{1,k}| = \left| \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \beta_{1,m} \right| \leq \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} |\beta_{1,m}| \leq Ch^{k+2} \|u\|_{k+2,\infty}.$$

Analogously, taking  $v = L_{j,m}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{k-1}$  in (3.10b) and using (2.7a) as well as (2.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{b}\gamma_{1,m} &= \frac{2m+1}{h_j} (f'(u)w_{u,1}, L_{j,m})_j, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}_{k-2}, \\ \sqrt{b}\gamma_{1,k-1} &= \frac{2k-1}{h_j} (f'(u)w_{u,1}, L_{j,k-1})_j - \frac{\bar{h}_j \partial_t \vec{u}_{j,k}}{2k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Author Proof

Specifically, when  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{k-2}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\sqrt{b}\gamma_{1,m}| &= \left| \frac{2m+1}{h_j} \sum_{v=0, v \neq m}^k (f'(u)\beta_{1,v}L_{j,v}, L_{j,m})_j + \frac{2m+1}{h_j} (f'(u)\beta_{1,m}L_{j,m}, L_{j,m})_j \right| \\
 &= \left| \frac{2m+1}{h_j} \sum_{v=0, v \neq m}^k \left( (f'(u) - I_{|v-m|-1}f'(u))\beta_{1,v}L_{j,v}, L_{j,m} \right)_j \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{2m+1}{h_j} (f'(u)\beta_{1,m}L_{j,m}, L_{j,m})_j \right| \\
 &\leq \left| \frac{2m+1}{h_j} \sum_{v=0, v \neq m}^k \left( (f'(u) - I_{|v-m|-1}f'(u))\beta_{1,v}L_{j,v}, L_{j,m} \right)_j \right| + C|\beta_{1,m}| \\
 &\leq \sum_{v=0, v \neq m}^k Ch^{|\nu-m|}|\beta_{1,v}| + C|\beta_{1,m}|,
 \end{aligned}$$

where in the second step we have used the orthogonality property of Legendre polynomials, in the third step we have employed Hölder’s inequality and in the last step we have used the following interpolation error estimate

$$\|f'(u) - I_{|v-m|-1}f'(u)\|_\infty \leq Ch^{|\nu-m|} \|\partial_x^{|\nu-m|} f'(u)\|_\infty \leq Ch^{|\nu-m|}.$$

It is easy to see that no matter  $\nu > m$  or  $\nu < m$ , the following formula is valid

$$|\gamma_{1,m}| \leq Ch^{2k+1-m} \|u\|_{k+2,\infty}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}_{k-2}.$$

By the same arguments, we can obtain

$$|\gamma_{1,k-1}| \leq \sum_{v=0, v \neq k-1}^k Ch^{|\nu-(k-1)|}|\beta_{1,v}| + C|\beta_{1,k-1}| + h_j |\partial_t \vec{u}_{j,k}| \leq Ch^{k+2} \|u\|_{k+3,\infty},$$

since  $\|\partial_t u\|_{k+1,\infty} = \|f'(u)\partial_x u - \partial_x^2 u\|_{k+1,\infty} \leq C\|u\|_{k+3,\infty}$ . It remains to bound  $\gamma_{1,k}$ . We use  $(w_{q,1}^+)_j{}_{-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}(f'(u)\tilde{w}_{u,1})_j{}_{-\frac{1}{2}}$  in (3.10b) to obtain

$$|\gamma_{1,k}| \leq \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} |\gamma_{1,m}| + \sum_{m=0}^k C|\beta_{1,m}| \leq Ch^{k+2} \|u\|_{k+3,\infty}.$$

Therefore, (3.13) is valid for  $i = 1$ .

Step 2: Suppose that (3.13) holds for  $i, 1 \leq i \leq k - 1$ , and we need to prove that it is also valid for  $i + 1$ .

We choose  $v = L_{j,m}, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{k-1}$  in (3.10a) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sqrt{b}\beta_{i+1,0} &= -\frac{\bar{h}_j}{3}\gamma_{i,1}, \\
 \sqrt{b}\beta_{i+1,m} &= \frac{\bar{h}_j\gamma_{i,m-1}}{2m-1} - \frac{\bar{h}_j\gamma_{i,m+1}}{2m+3}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}_{k-1}^+.
 \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\beta_{i+1,0}| &\leq h|\gamma_{i,1}| \leq Ch^{2k+1} \|u\|_{k+i+2,\infty}, \\
 |\beta_{i+1,m}| &\leq h(|\gamma_{i,m-1}| + |\gamma_{i,m+1}|) \leq Ch^{\max\{k+2+i, 2k+1-m\}} \|u\|_{k+i+2,\infty}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}_{k-1}^+.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that  $(w_{u,i+1}^-)_{j+\frac{1}{2}} = 0$ , we get

$$|\beta_{i+1,k}| = \left| \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \beta_{i+1,m} \right| \leq \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} |\beta_{i+1,m}| \leq Ch^{k+2+i} \|u\|_{k+i+2,\infty}.$$

Analogously, taking  $v = L_{j,m}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{k-1}$  in (3.10b), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{b}\gamma_{i+1,0} &= \frac{1}{h_j} (f'(u)w_{u,i+1}, L_{j,0})_j - \frac{\bar{h}_j}{3} \partial_t \beta_{i,1}, \\ \sqrt{b}\gamma_{i+1,m} &= \frac{2m+1}{h_j} (f'(u)w_{u,i+1}, L_{j,m})_j + \frac{\bar{h}_j \partial_t \beta_{i,m-1}}{2m-1} - \frac{\bar{h}_j \partial_t \beta_{i,m+1}}{2m+3}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}_{k-1}^+. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, when  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{k-1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{2m+1}{h_j} (f'(u)w_{u,i+1}, L_{j,m})_j \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{2m+1}{h_j} \sum_{v=0, v \neq m}^k (f'(u)\beta_{i+1,v} L_{j,v}, L_{j,m})_j + \frac{2m+1}{h_j} (f'(u)\beta_{i+1,m} L_{j,m}, L_{j,m})_j \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{2m+1}{h_j} \sum_{v=0, v \neq m}^k ((f'(u) - I_{|v-m|-1} f'(u))\beta_{i+1,v} L_{j,v}, L_{j,m})_j \right| + C|\beta_{i+1,m}| \\ &\leq \sum_{v=0, v \neq m}^k Ch^{|v-m|} |\beta_{i+1,v}| + C|\beta_{i+1,m}| \\ &\leq Ch^{\max\{k+2+i, 2k+1-m\}} \|u\|_{k+i+2,\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} |\gamma_{i+1,0}| &\leq Ch^{2k+1} \|u\|_{k+i+2,\infty} + h|\partial_t \beta_{i,1}| \leq Ch^{2k+1} \|u\|_{k+i+3,\infty}, \\ |\gamma_{i+1,m}| &\leq Ch^{\max\{k+2+i, 2k+1-m\}} \|u\|_{k+i+2,\infty} + h(|\partial_t \beta_{i,m-1}| + |\partial_t \beta_{i,m+1}|) \\ &\leq Ch^{\max\{k+2+i, 2k+1-m\}} \|u\|_{k+i+3,\infty}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}_{k-1}^+. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, it follows from (3.10b) that

$$|\gamma_{i+1,k}| \leq \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} |\gamma_{i+1,m}| + \sum_{m=0}^k C|\beta_{i+1,m}| \leq Ch^{k+2+i} \|u\|_{k+i+3,\infty}.$$

Therefore (3.13) holds for  $i + 1$  and this finishes the proof of Lemma 3.2. □

### 3.3 The Superconvergent Bound for the Projection Errors

To clearly see how to cancel terms involving projection errors with the goal of obtaining superconvergence, we split the error  $e_u, e_q$  into two parts:

$$\begin{aligned} e_u &= u - u_h = u - u_I^\ell + u_I^\ell - u_h \triangleq \eta_u + \xi_u, \\ e_q &= q - q_h = q - q_I^\ell + q_I^\ell - q_h \triangleq \eta_q + \xi_q. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $u_I^\ell$  and  $q_I^\ell$  are the two special interpolation functions of  $u$  and  $q$  given in (3.12).

Author Proof

338 **Lemma 3.3** Suppose that  $u \in W^{k+\ell+3,\infty}$ ,  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_k^+$  is the exact solution of (1.1), and  $u_I^\ell, q_I^\ell$   
 339 are the interpolation functions defined by (3.12), then for  $\forall v, \varphi \in V_h^k$ , we have

$$340 \quad |((\eta_u)_t, v)_j + \mathcal{H}_j(f'(u)\eta_u, v; f'(u)\tilde{\eta}_u) - \sqrt{b}\mathcal{H}_j(\eta_q, v; \eta_q^+)| \leq Ch^{k+\ell+1} \|u\|_{k+\ell+3,\infty} \|v\|_{1,I_j},$$

$$341 \quad |(\eta_q, \varphi)_j - \sqrt{b}\mathcal{H}_j(\eta_u, \varphi; \eta_u^-)| \leq Ch^{k+\ell+1} \|u\|_{k+\ell+2,\infty} \|\varphi\|_{1,I_j}.$$

342 **Proof** Since  $\eta_u = u - P_h^- u + W_u^\ell$  and  $\eta_q = q - \mathbb{P}_h^+ q + W_q^\ell$ , using the identity (3.2), we get

$$343 \quad S \triangleq ((\eta_u)_t, v)_j + \mathcal{H}_j(f'(u)\eta_u, v; f'(u)\tilde{\eta}_u) - \sqrt{b}\mathcal{H}_j(\eta_q, v; \eta_q^+)$$

$$344 \quad = ((\eta_u)_t, v)_j + \mathcal{H}_j(f'(u)W_u^\ell, v; f'(u)\tilde{W}_u^\ell) - \sqrt{b}\mathcal{H}_j(W_q^\ell, v; (W_q^\ell)^+),$$

345 which, by the definition of the DG spatial operator in (2.4) and the boundary collocation for  
 346 correction functions in (3.10b), is

$$347 \quad S = ((w_{u,0} + W_u^\ell)_t, v)_j + (\sqrt{b}W_q^\ell - f'(u)W_u^\ell, v_x)_j.$$

348 Let us now work on  $(\partial_t w_{u,i}, v)_j$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ell-1}$ , which consists of the first term in  $S$  except  
 349  $(\partial_t w_{u,\ell}, v)_j$ . It follows from (2.8) and integration by parts that

$$350 \quad (\partial_t w_{u,i}, v)_j = (\bar{h}_j D_x^{-1} \partial_t w_{u,i})_x, v)_j$$

$$351 \quad = -(\bar{h}_j D_x^{-1} \partial_t w_{u,i}, v_x)_j + \bar{h}_j D_x^{-1} \partial_t w_{u,i}(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^-) v(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^-)$$

$$352 \quad - \bar{h}_j D_x^{-1} \partial_t w_{u,i}(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^+) v(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^+). \tag{3.15}$$

353 Consequently, substituting the relation (3.10b) regarding the integral terms and the following  
 354 boundary values implied by the definition of the integral operator  $D_x^{-1}$  into (3.15)

$$355 \quad \bar{h}_j D_x^{-1} \partial_t w_{u,i}(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^-) = \int_{I_j} \sum_{m=0}^k \partial_t \beta_{i,m} L_{j,m} dx = h_j \partial_t \beta_{i,0},$$

$$356 \quad \bar{h}_j D_x^{-1} \partial_t w_{u,i}(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^+) = 0,$$

357 we obtain

$$358 \quad S = (\partial_t w_{u,\ell}, v)_j + \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} h_j \partial_t \beta_{i,0} v(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^-) \leq Ch^{k+\ell+1} \|u\|_{k+\ell+3,\infty} \|v\|_{1,I_j},$$

359 where  $\beta_{0,0} = 0$  due to (2.7a), and we have also used  $\|\partial_t w_{u,\ell}\|_\infty \leq Ch^{k+\ell+1} \|u\|_{k+\ell+3,\infty}$   
 360 in (3.14a), the inverse property  $\|v\|_{\infty,j} \leq Ch_j^{-1} \|v\|_{1,I_j}$  and the fact that  $|\partial_t \beta_{i,0}| \leq$   
 361  $Ch^{2k+1} \|u\|_{k+\ell+3,\infty}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ell-1}^+$  in (3.13a).

362 Analogously, there holds

$$363 \quad |(\eta_q, \varphi)_j - \sqrt{b}\mathcal{H}_j(\eta_u, \varphi; \eta_u^-)| = \left| \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} h_j \gamma_{i,0} \varphi(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^-) + (w_{q,\ell}, \varphi)_j \right|$$

$$364 \quad \leq Ch^{k+\ell+1} \|u\|_{k+\ell+2,\infty} \|\varphi\|_{1,I_j},$$

365 where  $\gamma_{0,0} = \bar{q}_{j,0} \leq Ch^{2k+1} \|u\|_{k+2,\infty}$  owing to (3.4). This completes the proof of  
 366 Lemma 3.3. □

Author Proof

**Remark 3.1** In contrast to the linear parabolic equations [6],  $w_{u,i}$  and  $w_{q,i}$  ( $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{k-1}$ ) defined for nonlinear convection-diffusion equations in this paper are no longer orthogonal to  $L_{j,0}(x)$ . Thus, the boundary terms containing  $\beta_{i,0}$  or  $\gamma_{i,0}$  will be generated. Fortunately, as shown in the proof of Lemma 3.3, these boundary terms are of high order and will not affect our superconvergence results.

**Remark 3.2** If we choose the numerical fluxes (2.2b), we can define the following modified projection

$$\tilde{\Pi}(u, q) = (P_h^+ u, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_h^- q),$$

in which  $P_h^+ u \in V_h^k$  has been given in (2.6b), and  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_h^- q \in V_h^k$  depends on both  $u$  and  $q$  such that

$$\int_{I_j} (q - \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_h^- q) v_h dx - \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} \int_{I_j} f'(u)(u - P_h^+ u) v_h dx = 0, \quad \forall v_h \in P^{k-1}(I_j),$$

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_h^- q(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^-) = q(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^-) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} f'(u)(u - P_h^+ u)_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_N^+$$

We can see that  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_h^-$  is a generalized version of the local Gauss–Radau projection  $P_h^-$ . For this case, the correction functions  $w_{u,i}, w_{q,i}$  are

$$(\sqrt{b} w_{u,i} - \bar{h}_j D_x^{-1} w_{q,i-1}, v)_j = 0, \quad (w_{u,i}^+)_{j-\frac{1}{2}} = 0,$$

$$(\sqrt{b} w_{q,i} - f'(u) w_{u,i} - \bar{h}_j D_x^{-1} \partial_t w_{u,i-1}, v)_j = 0, \quad (w_{q,i}^-)_{j+\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} (f'(u) \tilde{w}_{u,i})_{j+\frac{1}{2}},$$

where  $v \in P^{k-1}(I_j)$ , and

$$w_{u,0} = u - P_h^+ u, \quad w_{q,0} = q - \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_h^- q.$$

By similar arguments as those used for fluxes (2.2a), we conclude that the results in Lemma 3.1–Lemma 3.3 are still valid for the fluxes (2.2b).

## 4 Superconvergence

In this section, we will prove the superconvergence properties for the LDG solution regarding cell averages and Radau points. To this end, let us first show a supercloseness result for  $\|u_j^\ell - u_h\|$ .

### 4.1 Supercloseness

To deal with the nonlinearity of the flux function  $f(u)$ , we should make an a priori assumption that for small enough  $h$  there holds

$$\|P_h^- u - u_h\| \leq h^2. \tag{4.1}$$

Note that this a priori assumption doesn't make sense when  $k = 0$ . Therefore, all the following theorems only hold for  $k \geq 1$ .

397 **Theorem 4.1** Let  $u \in W^{k+\ell+3,\infty}$ ,  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_k^+$  ( $k \geq 1$ ) be the exact solution of the problem (1.1),  
 398 and  $u_h, q_h$  are the numerical solutions of LDG scheme (2.1) satisfying (4.1). For periodic  
 399 boundary conditions, if the initial discretization is chosen such that  $u_h(\cdot, 0) = u_I^\ell(\cdot, 0)$ , then

$$\|u_I^\ell - u_h\| + \left( \int_0^t \|q_I^\ell - q_h\|^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq Ch^{k+\ell+1}, \tag{4.2}$$

where  $C$  depends on  $t$  and  $\|u\|_{k+\ell+3,\infty}$ .

**Proof** Choosing  $v = \xi_u$ ,  $\varphi = \xi_q$  in the cell error equation (2.5), and summing up them over all cells, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\xi_u\|^2 + \|\xi_q\|^2 &= (-\eta_u)_t, \xi_u) - (\eta_q, \xi_q) - \mathcal{H}(f(u) - f(u_h), \xi_u; f(u) - \tilde{f}(u_h)) \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^N (\tilde{f}(u_h) - \hat{f}(u_h)) \|\xi_u\|_{j+\frac{1}{2}} + \sqrt{b} \mathcal{H}(\eta_q, \xi_u; \eta_q^+) \\ &+ \sqrt{b} \mathcal{H}(\eta_u, \xi_q; \eta_u^-) \\ &+ \sqrt{b} \mathcal{H}(\xi_q, \xi_u; \xi_q^+) + \sqrt{b} \mathcal{H}(\xi_u, \xi_q; \xi_u^-), \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where, for the nonlinear boundary terms, we have added and subtracted the ‘‘reference’’ function  $\tilde{f}(u_h)$  defined by (2.3) in  $f(u) - \hat{f}(u_h)$ . By using the second order Taylor expansion with respect to the variable  $u$ , we write out the nonlinear terms as follows

$$f(u) - f(u_h) = f'(u)\xi_u + f'(u)\eta_u - \frac{1}{2} \bar{f}_u''(\xi_u + \eta_u)^2, \tag{4.4a}$$

$$f(u) - \tilde{f}(u_h) = f'(u)\tilde{\xi}_u + f'(u)\tilde{\eta}_u - \frac{1}{2} \bar{f}_u''(\tilde{\xi}_u + \tilde{\eta}_u)^2, \tag{4.4b}$$

where  $\bar{f}_u''$  and  $\bar{f}_u''$  are the mean values, which can be given in the integral form of the remainder. Substituting (4.4) into (4.3), and using Lemma 3.3 in combination with the following skew-symmetry property

$$\mathcal{H}(\xi_q, \xi_u; \xi_q^+) + \mathcal{H}(\xi_u, \xi_q; \xi_u^-) = 0,$$

we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\xi_u\|^2 + \|\xi_q\|^2 \leq Ch^{k+\ell+1} (\|\xi_u\| + \|\xi_q\|) + \Lambda + \Theta + \Psi, \tag{4.5}$$

where

$$\Lambda = -\mathcal{H}(f'(u)\xi_u, \xi_u; f'(u)\tilde{\xi}_u),$$

$$\Theta = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{H}(\bar{f}_u''(u)e_u^2, \xi_u; \bar{f}_u''(u)\tilde{e}_u^2),$$

$$\Psi = \sum_{j=1}^N (\tilde{f}(u_h) - \hat{f}(u_h)) \|\xi_u\|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}$$

will be estimated separately.

Author Proof

424 A simple integration by parts gives us the estimate for  $\Lambda$ ; it reads,

$$\begin{aligned}
 425 \quad \Lambda &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{I_j} \partial_x f'(u) \xi_u^2 dx + \sum_{j=1}^N (f'(u)(\tilde{\xi}_u - \{\{\xi_u\}\}) \llbracket \xi_u \rrbracket)_{j+\frac{1}{2}} \\
 426 \quad &\leq C \|\xi_u\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N (|f'(u)| \llbracket \xi_u \rrbracket^2)_{j+\frac{1}{2}} \\
 427 \quad &\leq C \|\xi_u\|^2. \tag{4.6a}
 \end{aligned}$$

428 To deal with the high order term  $\Theta$ , let us first show a ‘‘rough’’ bound of order  $k + 1$  for  
 429  $\|\xi\|_\infty$ . It is easy to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
 430 \quad |\Theta| &\leq Ch^{-1} \|e_u\|_\infty \|e_u\| \|\xi_u\| + C \|e_u\|_\infty (\|\xi_u\|_{\Gamma_h} + \|\eta_u\|_{\Gamma_h}) \|\xi_u\|_{\Gamma_h} \\
 431 \quad &\leq Ch^k \|e_u\|_\infty \|\xi_u\| + Ch^{-1} \|e_u\|_\infty \|\xi_u\|^2 \tag{4.6b}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$432 \quad \leq (Ch^{-1} \|e_u\|_\infty + Ch^{-3} \|e_u\|_\infty^2) \|\xi_u\|^2 + Ch^{2k+3}, \tag{4.6c}$$

433 where in the last step we have rewritten  $h^k \|e_u\|_\infty \|\xi_u\| = h^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|e\|_\infty \|\xi_u\| h^{k+\frac{3}{2}}$  followed  
 434 by the application of Young’s inequality. For  $\Psi$ , using the Taylor expansion of  $f$ , the  
 435 Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and the inverse inequality, we have the following estimate; see  
 436 [4, Theorem 4.3]

$$437 \quad |\Psi| \leq Ch^{-2} \|e_u\|_\infty^2 \|\eta_u\|_\infty^2 + C(1 + h^{-1} \|e_u\|_\infty) \|\xi_u\|^2. \tag{4.6d}$$

438 By the a priori error assumption (4.1), we have

$$439 \quad \|e_u\|_\infty \leq \|u - P_h^- u\|_\infty + \|P_h^- u - u_h\|_\infty \leq Ch^{\frac{3}{2}}. \tag{4.6e}$$

440 Inserting the estimates (4.6a), (4.6c)–(4.6e) into (4.5), using Young’s inequality and the  
 441 Gronwall inequality, one has

$$442 \quad \|\xi_u\| \leq Ch^{k+\frac{3}{2}}.$$

443 Remark that the above estimate for  $\|\xi_u\|$  is sufficient to verify the a priori error assumption  
 444 (4.1) with  $k \geq 1$ ; see, e.g., [22,24]. Then, we arrive at the following error estimate of order  
 445  $k + 1$  for  $\|\xi_u\|_\infty$  and thus  $\|e_u\|_\infty$ .

$$446 \quad \|e_u\|_\infty \leq \|\eta_u\|_\infty + h^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\xi_u\| \leq Ch^{k+1}. \tag{4.7}$$

447 We are now ready to prove the supercloseness result in (4.2). Substituting (4.7) into (4.6b),  
 448 (4.6d) and (4.5), and taking into account (4.6a), we obtain, after using Young’s inequality

$$449 \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\xi_u\|^2 + \|\xi_q\|^2 \leq Ch^{k+\ell+1} (\|\xi_u\| + \|\xi_q\|) + \|\xi_u\|^2 + Ch^{4k+2}.$$

450 Choosing  $u_h(\cdot, 0) = u_I^\ell(\cdot, 0)$  and using Gronwall inequality, we have

$$451 \quad \|\xi_u\| + \left( \int_0^t \|\xi_q\|^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq Ch^{k+\ell+1}.$$

452 This finishes the proof of Theorem 4.1. □



## 4.2 Superconvergence

To derive superconvergence properties for the derivative approximation at Radau points, the following Lemma is needed.

**Lemma 4.1** [2] Let  $q_h, u_h \in V_h^k$  satisfy

$$(q_h, \varphi)_j = \mathcal{H}_j(u_h, \varphi; \hat{u}_h), \quad \forall \varphi \in V_h^k.$$

Then there holds for  $\hat{u}_h = u_h^-$

$$\partial_x u_h(\ell_{j,m}) = q_h(\ell_{j,m}), \quad (j, m) \in \mathbb{Z}_N \times \mathbb{Z}_k,$$

and for  $\hat{u}_h = u_h^+$

$$\partial_x u_h(r_{j,m}) = q_h(r_{j,m}), \quad (j, m) \in \mathbb{Z}_N \times \mathbb{Z}_k.$$

Due to the supercloseness result between  $u_h^\ell$  and  $u_h$  in Theorem 4.1, taking  $\ell \leq k$  in the correction functions, we have the following superconvergence results for the LDG solution  $u_h$ .

**Theorem 4.2** Assume that  $u \in W^{2k+3, \infty}(\Omega)$ ,  $k \geq 1$  is the solution of (1.1), and  $u_h, q_h$  are the numerical solutions of the LDG scheme (1.1) when the alternating fluxes (2.2a) are used with the initial solution  $u_h(\cdot, 0) = u_1^k(\cdot, 0)$ . Then for periodic boundary conditions, we have the following superconvergence results

(1) Superconvergence of the numerical fluxes

$$\|e_{un}\| = \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N |(u - \hat{u}_h)(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq Ch^{2k+1}.$$

(2) Superconvergence for the cell averages

$$\|e_u\|_c = \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \left| \frac{1}{h_j} \int_{I_j} (u - u_h)(x, t) dx \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq Ch^{2k+1}.$$

(3) When  $\ell \geq 2$ , the function value approximation of the LDG solution is  $(k+2)$ th order superconvergent at right Radau points  $r_{j,m}$ , and the derivative value approximation is  $(k+1)$ th order superconvergence at interior left Radau points (except the point  $x = x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}$ ), i.e.,

$$\|e_{ur}\| = \max_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} |(u - u_h)(r_{j,m})| \leq Ch^{k+2},$$

$$\|e_{u\ell}\| = \max_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} |\partial_x(u - u_h)(\ell_{j,m})| \leq Ch^{k+1}.$$

It is worth pointing out that, when the direction of the flow doesn't change, the order of  $\|e_{u\ell}\|$  can also be  $k+2$ .

(4) The numerical solution  $u_h$  is superconvergent with order  $k+2$  towards the Gauss–Radau projection  $P_h^- u$  of the exact solution, namely,

$$\|u_h - P_h^- u\| \leq Ch^{k+2}.$$

The constant  $C$  is independent of  $h$ .

Author Proof

485 **Proof** (1) It follows from the exact collocation of  $P_h^-$  in (2.6a) as well as  $(w_{u,i}^-)_{j+\frac{1}{2}} = 0$  in  
 486 (3.10a), the inverse inequality and the supercloseness result in Theorem 4.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}
 487 \quad \|e_{un}\| &= \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N |(u_I^k - u_h)(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 488 \quad &\leq \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N Ch_j^{-1} \|u_I^k - u_h\|_{I_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 489 \quad &\leq C \|u_I^k - u_h\| \leq Ch^{2k+1}. \tag{4.8}
 \end{aligned}$$

490 (2) By the orthogonality property of  $P_h^-$  in (2.6a) and the definition of  $u_I^k$ , we obtain

$$491 \quad (e_u, 1)_j = (u_I^k - u_h, 1)_j + (W_u^k, 1)_j.$$

492 Then, by a direct calculation and taking into account (3.13a) with  $m = 0$  due to the orthog-  
 493 onality property of Legendre polynomials, we get

$$494 \quad \|e_u\|_c \leq \|u_I^k - u_h\| + Ch^{2k+1} \|u\|_{2k+3, \infty} \leq Ch^{2k+1}.$$

495 (3) If we take  $\ell \geq 2$  in Theorem 4.1, and use the inverse inequality, we obtain

$$496 \quad \|\xi_u\|_\infty \leq Ch^{k+\frac{5}{2}}.$$

497 By the triangle inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
 498 \quad |(u - u_h)(r_{j,m})| &\leq |(u - P_h^- u)(r_{j,m})| + \|\xi_u\|_\infty + \|W_u^\ell\|_\infty \\
 499 \quad &\leq Ch^{k+2},
 \end{aligned}$$

500 where we have also used  $|(u - P_h^- u)(r_{j,m})| \leq Ch^{k+2}$  due to the standard approximation  
 501 theory. The result of the other equation for the derivative approximations can be obtained by  
 502 the same arguments.

503 Moreover, if the direction of the flow doesn't change, combining Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2  
 504 and Lemma 4.1 we have

$$505 \quad |\partial_x(u - u_h)(\ell_{j,m})| = |(q - q_h)(\ell_{j,m})| \leq Ch^{k+2}.$$

506 (4) Using the triangle inequality,

$$507 \quad \|u_h - P_h^- u\| \leq \|P_h^- u - W_u^k - u_h\| + \|W_u^k\| \leq Ch^{k+2}.$$

508 This finishes the proof of Theorem 4.2. □

509 **Remark 4.1** From the construction of the special projection in (3.1), we can see that the  
 510 conclusion is only valid for  $b = \mathcal{O}(1)$ . For convection dominated problems with small  
 511 diffusion coefficient  $b \ll 1$ , the exact solution often exists a boundary layer near the outflow  
 512 boundary. When the direction of the flow doesn't change, we can observe superconvergence  
 513 property similar to the nonlinear hyperbolic equations [4] out of the local subdomain with  
 514 pollution width of  $\mathcal{O}(h \ln N)$ .

515 **Remark 4.2** For the strongly anisotropic problems when  $b$  is very large, the theoretical results  
 516 are still valid, since  $\Phi = (-1)^k \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} f'(u) (u - P_h^- u)_{j-\frac{1}{2}}$  has an additional order  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}$ . However,  
 517 this case requires a smaller time step when explicit time discretization methods are used.

Author Proof

518 **Remark 4.3** For high dimensions, we need to introduce more auxiliary variables, such as  
 519  $p = u_x, q = u_y$  for the two-dimensional case. Unfortunately, it is difficult to construct an  
 520 interpolation function to deal with  $p = u_x$  and  $q = u_y$  simultaneously. The main technical  
 521 difficulty is that the conditions they need to satisfy are interactive restricted in the process of  
 522 constructing interpolation functions.

523 **4.3 The Initial Discretization**

524 In this section, we consider how to discretize the initial datum. Initial value discretization  
 525 is very important for the study of superconvergence, which can be obtained using the same  
 526 technique as that in [2]. Specifically, for periodic boundary conditions,

- 527 1. according to the definition of projection  $P_h^-, \mathbb{P}_h^+$ , calculate the  $w_{u,0}, w_{q,0}$ ;
- 528 2. calculate  $w_{u,i}, w_{q,i}$  by the equations (3.10);
- 529 3. calculate  $W_u^\ell = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} w_{u,i}, u_I^\ell = P_h^- u - W_u^\ell$ ;
- 530 4. let  $u_h(\cdot, 0) = u_I^\ell(\cdot, 0)$ .

531 **5 Extensions**

532 **5.1 Generalized Alternating Numerical Fluxes**

533 In this section, we extend the superconvergence results to generalized alternating numerical  
 534 fluxes. To be more specific, the numerical fluxes can be in the following form

535 
$$\hat{v}_{j+\frac{1}{2}} = v_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{(\theta)} = \theta v_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^- + \tilde{\theta} v_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^+, \quad \tilde{\theta} = 1 - \theta.$$

536 When the numerical fluxes  $(u_h^{(\theta)}, q_h^{(\tilde{\theta})})$  are used, we introduce a modified projection  
 537  $\tilde{\mathbb{T}}(u, q) = (P_\theta u, \mathbb{P}_{\tilde{\theta}} q)$  satisfying

538 
$$\int_{I_j} (P_\theta u - u) v_h dx = 0, \quad \forall v_h \in P^{k-1}(I_j),$$
  
 539 
$$(P_\theta u)_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{(\theta)} = u_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{(\theta)}, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_N^+,$$

540 and  $\mathbb{P}_{\tilde{\theta}} q \in V_h^k$  depends on both  $u$  and  $q$  such that

541 
$$\int_{I_j} (q - \mathbb{P}_{\tilde{\theta}} q) v_h dx - \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} \int_{I_j} f'(u)(u - P_\theta u) v_h dx = 0, \quad \forall v_h \in P^{k-1}(I_j),$$
  
 542 
$$(\mathbb{P}_{\tilde{\theta}} q)_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{(\tilde{\theta})} = q_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{(\tilde{\theta})} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} f'(u_{j+\frac{1}{2}})(u - P_\theta u)_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_N^+.$$

543 Similar to (3.2), the boundary terms of the projection errors for both convection and diffusion  
 544 parts can be eliminated. For more properties of global projections; see, e.g., [11,21].

545 Analogously, we define a series of functions  $w_{u,i}, w_{q,i}, i \in \mathbb{Z}_k^+$  as follows

546  $(\sqrt{b}w_{u,i} - \bar{h}_j D_x^{-1} w_{q,i-1}, v_h)_j = 0, \quad (w_{u,i}^{(\theta)})_{j+\frac{1}{2}} = 0, \quad (5.1a)$

547  $(\sqrt{b}w_{q,i} - w_{u,i} - \bar{h}_j D_x^{-1} \partial_t w_{u,i-1}, v_h)_j = 0, \quad (w_{q,i}^{(\bar{\theta})})_{j+\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} f'(u_{j+\frac{1}{2}}) (\tilde{w}_{u,i})_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (5.1b)$

548 where  $v_h \in P^{k-1}(I_j)$ , and

549  $w_{u,0} = u - P_\theta u, \quad w_{q,0} = q - \mathbb{P}_{\bar{\theta}} q.$

550 Following the same argument as that in Sect. 3, we can obtain superconvergence results  
 551 similar to Lemmas 3.1–3.3. The main difference is that we need to solve linear coupled  
 552 systems involving the coefficients  $\tilde{q}_{j,k}, \beta_{i,k}$ , and  $\gamma_{i,k}$  for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_N^+$ .

553 Next, let us present some preliminary results related to the superconvergence results based  
 554 on generalized alternating numerical fluxes. The generalized Radau polynomials are defined  
 555 as in [3]

556 
$$R_{k+1}^\theta = \begin{cases} L_{k+1} - (2\theta - 1)L_k, & \text{when } k \text{ is even,} \\ (2\theta - 1)L_{k+1} - L_k, & \text{when } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

557 For any positive  $\theta \neq \frac{1}{2}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_N^+$ , if the following local projection  $P_h u \in V_h^k$  in [3] is  
 558 introduced,

559 
$$\int_{I_j} (P_h u - u)v dx = 0, \quad \forall v \in P^{k-1}(I_j),$$
  
 560 
$$\theta P_h u(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^-) + (1 - \theta)P_h u(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^+) = \theta u(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^-) + (1 - \theta)u(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^+).$$

561 Then the following superconvergence results hold.

562 **Lemma 5.1** [3] *Suppose  $u \in W^{k+2,\infty}(\Omega)$  and  $P_h u$  is the local projection of  $u$  defined above*  
 563 *with  $\theta \neq \frac{1}{2}$ , then*

564  $| (u - P_h u)(\mathcal{R}_{j,m}) | \leq Ch^{k+2},$   
 565  $| \partial_x (u - P_h u)(\mathcal{R}_{j,m}^*) | \leq Ch^{k+1},$   
 566  $\| P_h u - P_\theta u \|_\infty \leq Ch^{k+2}.$

567 Here  $\mathcal{R}_{j,m}, \mathcal{R}_{j,m}^*$  are the roots of  $R_{j,m+1}^\theta$  and  $\partial_x R_{j,m+1}^\theta$ , and  $C$  is independent of  $h$ .

568 Following the same argument as what we did in Sect. 4, we obtain the superconvergence  
 569 results based on generalized alternating numerical fluxes, whose detailed proofs are omitted  
 570 to save space.

571 **Theorem 5.1** *Assume that  $u \in W^{2k+3,\infty}(\Omega), k \geq 1$  is the solution of (1.1), and  $u_h, q_h$  are*  
 572 *the numerical solutions of LDG scheme (1.1) when the numerical fluxes  $(u_h^{(\theta)}, q_h^{(\bar{\theta})})$  are used*  
 573 *with the initial solution  $u_h(\cdot, 0) = u_1^k(\cdot, 0)$ . Then for periodic boundary conditions, we have*  
 574 *the following superconvergence results*

575 1. *Superconvergence of the numerical flux*

576 
$$\| e_{un} \| = \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N |(u - u_h)^{(\theta)}(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, t)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq Ch^{2k+1}.$$

Author Proof

2. Superconvergence for the cell averages

$$\|e_u\|_c = \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \left| \frac{1}{h_j} \int_{I_j} (u - u_h)(x, t) dx \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq Ch^{2k+1}.$$

3. When  $\ell \geq 2$ , the function value approximation of the LDG solution is  $(k + 2)$ th order superconvergent at interior generalized Radau points  $\mathcal{R}_{j,m}$ , and the derivative value approximation is  $(k + 1)$ th order superconvergence at interior generalized derivative Radau points  $\mathcal{R}_{j,m}^*$ , i.e.,

$$\|e_{ur}\| = \max_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} |(u - u_h)(\mathcal{R}_{j,m})| \leq Ch^{k+2},$$

$$\|e_{ur}^*\| = \max_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_N} |\partial_x(u - u_h)(\mathcal{R}_{j,m}^*)| \leq Ch^{k+1}.$$

4. The numerical solution  $u_h$  is superconvergent with order  $k + 2$  towards the global projection  $P_\theta u$  of the exact solution, namely,

$$\|u_h - P_\theta u\| \leq Ch^{k+2}.$$

The constant  $C$  is independent of  $h$ .

5.2 Mixed Boundary Conditions

Consider the following mixed boundary conditions

$$u(0, t) = g_1(t), \quad u_x(2\pi, t) = g_2(t). \tag{5.2}$$

For simplicity, we choose the numerical fluxes as

$$(\hat{f}(u_h), \hat{u}_h, \hat{q}_h)_{j+\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{cases} (f(g_1), g_1, q_h^+)_{\frac{1}{2}}, & j = 0, \\ (\text{Godunov flux}, u_h^-, q_h^+)_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, & j = 1, \dots, N - 1, \\ (f(u_h^-), u_h^-, g_2)_{N+\frac{1}{2}}, & j = N. \end{cases} \tag{5.3}$$

The projection  $\mathbb{P}_h^+$  defined in (3.1) is modified to  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_h^+$  determined by

$$\int_{I_j} (q - \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_h^+ q) v_h dx - \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} \int_{I_j} f'(u)(u - P_h^- u) v_h dx = 0, \quad \forall v_h \in P^{k-1}(I_j),$$

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_h^+ q(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^+) = q(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^+) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} f'(u) \widetilde{(u - P_h^- u)}_{j-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_N^+ \setminus \{1\},$$

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_h^+ q(x_{\frac{1}{2}}^+) = q(x_{\frac{1}{2}}^+),$$

where  $\widetilde{w}$  has been defined in (2.3). Then we construct the following correction functions

$$(w_{u,i} - \bar{h}_j D_x^{-1} w_{q,i-1}, z)_j = 0, \quad (w_{u,i}^-)_{j+\frac{1}{2}} = 0, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_N^+,$$

$$(w_{q,i} - w_{u,i} - \bar{h}_j D_x^{-1} \partial_t w_{u,i-1}, z)_j = 0, \quad (w_{q,i}^+)_{j-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} f'(u) \widetilde{w}_{u,i} |_{j-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_N^+ \setminus \{1\},$$

$$(w_{q,i}^+)_{\frac{1}{2}} = 0$$

for  $\forall z \in P^{k-1}(I_j)$ . The superconvergence results can thus be obtained if we follow the same arguments as those in Sects. 3 and 4.

**Remark 5.1** The novelty in designing a new projection is that the diffusion term is used to balance the convection term. Therefore, when constructing  $\Pi(u, q)$  in Sect. 3.1, the projection  $P_h^-$  dealing with convection term should be designed first, and then the projection  $P_h^+$ . For the case of Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$u(0, t) = g_3(t), \quad u(2\pi, t) = g_4(t),$$

it is difficult to modify the projection  $P_h^+$  to eliminate the boundary term introduced by the auxiliary variable  $q$ . However, the superconvergence phenomenon can still be observed when we follow [13] and define the numerical fluxes as follows

$$(\hat{f}(u_h), \hat{u}_h, \hat{q}_h)_{j+\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{cases} (f(g_3), g_3, q_h^+)_{\frac{1}{2}}, & j = 0, \\ (\text{Godunov flux}, u_h^-, q_h^+)_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, & j = 1, \dots, N-1, \\ (f(u_h^-) - \kappa(g_4 - u_h^-), g_4, q_h^-)_{N+\frac{1}{2}}, & j = N, \end{cases} \quad (5.4)$$

where  $\kappa = \mathcal{O}(h^{-1})$  is a positive constant. See Table 8 for numerical results.

### 5.3 Superconvergence for the Auxiliary Variable

For the numerical flux in (2.2a), the superconvergence properties still hold for the auxiliary variable  $q$ , if the direction of the flow doesn't change.

**Theorem 5.2** Assume that  $u \in W^{2k+3, \infty}(\Omega)$ ,  $k \geq 1$  is the solution of (1.1), and  $u_h, q_h$  are the numerical solutions of LDG scheme (1.1) when the alternating fluxes (2.2a) are used with the initial solution  $u_h(\cdot, 0) = u_I^k(\cdot, 0)$ . Then for periodic boundary conditions, we have the following superconvergence results for the auxiliary variable  $q_h$ .

1. Superconvergence of the numerical flux

$$\left( \int_0^t \|e_{qn}\|^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq Ch^{2k+1}.$$

2. Superconvergence for the cell averages

$$\left( \int_0^t \|e_{q\ell}\|_c^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq Ch^{2k+1}.$$

3. When  $\ell \geq 2$ , the function value approximation of the LDG solution is  $(k+2)$ th order superconvergent at left Radau points  $\ell_{j,m}$ , and the derivative value approximation is  $(k+1)$ th order superconvergence at the interior right Radau points, except the point  $x = x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}$ , i.e.,

$$\left( \int_0^t \|e_{q\ell}\|^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq Ch^{k+2}, \quad \left( \int_0^t \|e_{qr}\|^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq Ch^{k+1}.$$

4. The numerical solution  $q_h$  is superconvergent with order  $k+2$  towards the Gauss–Radau projection  $P_h^+ q$  of the exact solution, namely,

$$\left( \int_0^t \|q_h - P_h^+ q\|^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq Ch^{k+2}.$$

The norms aforementioned can be defined as the same way as in Theorem 4.2 and  $C$  is independent of  $h$ .

**Table 1** CFL constants for different numerical examples

	Table 2	Table 3	Table 4	Table 5	Table 6	Table 7	Table 8	Table 9
$CFL_1$	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.005	0.0005	0.02	0.1
$CFL_2$	0.01	0.005	0.01	0.005	0.003	0.0001	0.01	0.1
$CFL_3$	0.002	0.002	0.005	0.002	0.001	–	–	7

**Remark 5.2** In the superconvergence analysis, the correction functions  $(W_u^\ell, W_q^\ell)$  we designed should satisfy the following properties

$$(W_u^\ell)_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^- = 0, \quad (W_q^\ell)_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^+ = 0, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}_N,$$

which are needed to derive superconvergence of the numerical flux in (4.8). Therefore, it is easy to see that superconvergence of the auxiliary variable  $q_h$  is no longer valid when the flow direction changes in (3.10b), or the generalized alternating numerical fluxes (5.1b) are used. The superconvergence can be observed numerically in the  $L^\infty([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$  norm.

## 6 Numerical Experiments

In this section, we provide numerical examples to verify our theoretical results. For time discretization, we use the third order explicit total variation diminishing Runge–Kutta method and take  $\Delta t = CFL_k * h^2$  for  $P^k (1 \leq k \leq 3)$  polynomials. In all examples, uniform meshes are considered and the parameters  $CFL_k$  are listed in Table 1.

**Example 6.1** We first consider the following problem with the direction of the flow not change

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + (e^u)_x - bu_{xx} &= g(x, t), & (x, t) &\in [0, 2\pi] \times (0, T], \\ u(x, 0) &= \sin(5x), & x &\in [0, 2\pi] \end{aligned}$$

with the periodic boundary condition.  $g(x, t)$  is suitably chosen such that the exact solution is

$$u(x, t) = e^{-bt} \sin(5x + t).$$

Table 2 lists results for  $u$  and  $q$  when  $b = 1.2$ ,  $T = 1$ , from which we observe  $(2k + 1)$ th order superconvergence for the numerical trace as well as cell averages. In addition, for the prime variable  $u_h$ , superconvergence of the function value approximation and the derivative approximation at Radau points both achieve  $(k + 2)$ th order. In Table 3, we present the  $L^2$  errors of  $\xi_u, \xi_q, P_h^+ q - q_h$  and  $P_h^- u - u_h$ , which demonstrates that the LDG solution  $u_h (q_h)$  is superconvergent with order  $k + 2$  towards the Gauss–Radau projection  $P_h^- u (P_h^+ q)$ . Moreover, by correcting the local projection, the order of  $L^2$  error between numerical solution and interpolation function can reach  $2k + 1$ .

**Example 6.2** In this example, we consider the following problem with the direction of the flow changes

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + (u^2/2)_x - bu_{xx} &= g(x, t), & (x, t) &\in [0, 2\pi] \times (0, T], \\ u(x, 0) &= \sin(3x), & x &\in [0, 2\pi] \end{aligned}$$

**Table 2** Errors and rates for Example 6.1 with  $b = 1.2, T = 1$

	$N$	$\ e_{un}\ $	Rate	$\ e_u\ _c$	Rate	$\ e_{ur}\ $	Rate	$\ e_{u\ell}\ $	Rate
$P^1$	40	2.54E-04	–	1.36E-03	–	1.62E-03	–	5.06E-03	–
	80	3.17E-05	3.00	1.73E-04	2.97	2.17E-04	2.90	6.32E-04	3.00
	160	3.97E-06	2.99	2.18E-05	2.99	2.78E-05	2.96	8.09E-05	2.97
	320	4.97E-07	3.00	2.73E-06	3.00	3.51E-06	2.98	1.02E-05	2.99
$P^2$	20	1.28E-04	–	1.47E-04	–	8.28E-04	–	3.57E-03	–
	40	3.95E-06	5.01	4.51E-06	5.02	4.60E-05	4.17	2.20E-04	4.02
	80	1.20E-07	5.03	1.38E-07	5.03	2.84E-06	4.02	1.49E-05	3.88
	160	3.66E-09	5.04	4.24E-09	5.03	1.72E-07	4.04	9.50E-07	3.97
$P^3$	30	1.04E-07	–	1.15E-07	–	4.37E-06	–	3.25E-05	–
	40	1.32E-08	7.15	1.47E-08	7.15	1.01E-06	5.10	8.58E-06	4.62
	50	2.74E-09	7.05	3.04E-09	7.05	3.46E-07	4.78	2.81E-06	4.99
	60	7.56E-10	7.06	8.37E-10	7.06	1.40E-07	4.98	1.10E-06	5.13
	$N$	$\ e_{qn}\ $	Rate	$\ e_q\ _c$	Rate	$\ e_{qr}\ $	Rate	$\ e_{q\ell}\ $	Rate
$P^1$	40	2.67E-04	–	1.38E-03	–	1.36E-01	–	5.54E-03	–
	80	3.35E-05	2.99	1.77E-04	2.96	3.52E-02	1.96	6.92E-04	3.00
	160	4.20E-06	2.99	2.23E-05	2.98	8.82E-03	1.99	8.86E-05	2.96
	320	5.26E-07	3.00	2.80E-06	2.99	2.20E-03	2.00	1.11E-05	2.99
$P^2$	20	1.26E-05	–	9.42E-05	–	1.02E-01	–	3.91E-03	–
	40	4.70E-07	4.75	3.30E-06	4.83	1.25E-02	3.03	2.41E-04	4.02
	80	1.48E-08	4.98	1.04E-07	4.98	1.65E-03	2.92	1.63E-05	3.88
	160	4.63E-10	5.00	3.26E-09	5.00	2.07E-04	2.99	1.04E-06	3.97
$P^3$	30	1.67E-08	–	6.61E-08	–	2.18E-03	–	3.56E-05	–
	40	2.07E-09	7.25	9.94E-09	6.58	6.86E-04	4.03	9.40E-06	4.63
	50	4.26E-10	7.09	2.10E-09	6.97	2.97E-04	3.75	3.08E-06	4.99
	60	1.16E-10	7.13	5.86E-10	6.99	1.43E-04	4.02	1.21E-06	5.13

with the periodic boundary condition. The source term  $g(x, t)$  is specially chosen such that the exact solution is

$$u(x, t) = e^{-bt} \sin(3x + t).$$

We list various errors and corresponding convergence rates when  $b = 1.0, T = 1$  in Table 4. Superconvergence of order  $2k + 1$  for the numerical trace as well as cell averages, and  $(k + 2)$ th order of the function value approximation at Radau points confirm the sharpness of Theorem 4.2 when the flow direction does change its sign. Moreover, the derivative approximation at Radau points achieves  $(k + 1)$ th order as expected. In addition, superconvergence results for  $\xi_u$  and  $P_h^- u - u_h$  in the  $L^2$  norm are shown in Table 5, for  $b = 0.1, b = 2.0$ . For this problem, results of the generalized alternating fluxes with different weights  $\theta$  with  $b = 2.0$  are shown in Table 6, demonstrating that superconvergence results are still valid for the generalized numerical fluxes in Sect. 5.1.

Author Proof



**Table 3** Errors and rates for Example 6.1 with  $b = 2.0, T = 1$

	$N$	$\ \xi_u\ $	Rate	$\ P_h^- u - u_h\ $	Rate	$\ \xi_q\ $	Rate	$\ P_h^+ q - q_h\ $	Rate
$P^1$	40	1.64E-04	–	1.79E-03	–	1.18E-03	–	1.27E-03	–
	80	2.06E-05	2.99	2.29E-04	2.97	1.54E-04	2.93	1.64E-04	2.96
	160	2.60E-06	2.98	2.88E-05	2.99	1.97E-05	2.97	2.07E-05	2.98
	320	3.27E-07	2.99	3.61E-06	2.99	2.48E-06	2.98	2.61E-06	2.99
$P^2$	20	1.81E-04	–	8.63E-04	–	3.21E-05	–	5.72E-04	–
	40	5.97E-06	4.92	5.49E-05	3.97	1.06E-06	4.92	3.56E-05	4.00
	80	1.87E-07	5.00	3.45E-06	3.99	3.16E-08	5.06	2.24E-06	3.99
	160	5.77E-09	5.02	2.16E-07	3.99	9.68E-10	5.03	1.41E-07	3.99
$P^3$	30	1.46E-07	–	7.35E-06	–	1.51E-08	–	4.96E-06	–
	40	1.95E-08	6.98	1.76E-06	4.97	1.82E-09	7.34	1.18E-06	4.98
	50	4.12E-09	6.97	5.79E-07	4.98	3.65E-10	7.19	3.90E-07	4.98
	60	1.15E-09	7.00	2.33E-07	4.99	9.84E-11	7.19	1.57E-07	4.99

**Table 4** Errors and rates for Example 6.2 with  $b = 1.0, T = 1$

	$N$	$\ e_{um}\ $	Rate	$\ e_u\ _c$	Rate	$\ e_{ur}\ $	Rate	$\ e_{u\ell}\ $	Rate
$P^1$	40	2.00E-04	–	4.56E-04	–	7.32E-04	–	2.38E-03	–
	80	2.61E-05	2.94	5.79E-05	2.98	9.28E-05	2.98	5.07E-04	2.23
	160	3.30E-06	2.98	7.26E-06	2.99	1.16E-05	3.00	1.16E-04	2.13
	320	4.13E-07	3.00	9.09E-07	3.00	1.45E-06	3.00	2.75E-05	2.07
$P^2$	20	1.24E-05	–	1.37E-05	–	1.38E-04	–	6.27E-04	–
	40	4.51E-07	4.79	4.98E-07	4.79	8.12E-06	4.08	6.18E-05	3.34
	80	1.49E-08	4.92	1.64E-08	4.92	4.89E-07	4.05	6.59E-06	3.23
	160	4.77E-10	4.97	5.26E-10	4.97	2.99E-08	4.03	7.57E-07	3.12
$P^3$	30	3.70E-09	–	4.98E-09	–	4.52E-07	–	9.72E-06	–
	40	5.07E-10	6.91	6.77E-10	6.93	1.07E-07	5.02	3.23E-06	3.84
	50	1.06E-10	6.99	1.42E-10	6.99	3.48E-08	5.01	1.31E-06	4.04
	60	2.97E-11	7.01	3.96E-11	7.01	1.39E-08	5.02	6.15E-07	4.15

676 **Example 6.3** To illustrate the case with different boundary conditions and long time behaviors,  
 677 consider the following problem

$$\begin{aligned}
 678 \quad & u_t + (u^2/2)_x - bu_{xx} = g(x, t), & (x, t) \in [0, 1] \times (0, T], \\
 679 \quad & u(x, 0) = \cos(\pi(x - 1)/2) - \frac{e^{(x-1)/b} - 1}{1 - e^{-1/b}}, & x \in [0, 1]
 \end{aligned}$$

680 with mixed boundary conditions

$$681 \quad u(0, t) = \cos(\pi t), \quad u_x(1, t) = \frac{\cos(\pi t)}{(e^{-1/b} - 1)b}.$$

Author Proof

**Table 5** Errors and rates for Example 6.2 with  $b = 0.1, b = 2.0, T = 1$

	$N$	$b = 0.1$				$b = 2.0$			
		$\ \xi_u\ $	Rate	$\ P_h^- u - u_h\ $	Rate	$\ \xi_u\ $	Rate	$\ P_h^- u - u_h\ $	Rate
$P^1$	40	7.68E-03	–	1.16E-02	–	2.84E-04	–	4.98E-04	–
	80	9.69E-04	2.99	1.52E-03	2.93	3.68E-05	2.95	6.32E-05	2.98
	160	1.21E-04	3.01	1.93E-04	2.97	4.64E-06	2.99	7.92E-06	2.99
	320	1.50E-05	3.01	2.43E-05	2.99	5.82E-07	3.00	9.91E-07	3.00
$P^2$	20	1.53E-03	–	1.98E-03	–	1.82E-05	–	1.17E-04	–
	40	3.03E-05	5.66	1.18E-04	4.07	6.32E-07	4.85	7.26E-06	4.01
	80	7.50E-07	5.33	7.23E-06	4.03	2.04E-08	4.95	4.52E-07	4.01
	160	2.13E-08	5.13	4.47E-07	4.02	6.48E-10	4.98	2.82E-08	4.00
$P^3$	30	2.33E-06	–	1.35E-05	–	4.95E-09	–	5.83E-07	–
	40	3.07E-07	7.04	3.30E-06	4.91	6.83E-10	6.89	1.39E-07	4.99
	50	6.42E-08	7.02	1.10E-06	4.92	1.45E-10	6.96	4.55E-08	5.00
	60	1.78E-08	7.02	4.47E-07	4.94	4.04E-11	6.99	1.83E-08	5.00

**Table 6** Errors and rates for Example 6.2 with generalized fluxes for  $b = 2.0, T = 1$

	$N$	$\ e_{un}\ $	Rate	$\ e_{uc}\ $	Rate	$\ e_{ur}\ $	Rate	$\ e_{ur}^*\ $	Rate	
$P^1$	40	6.22E-05	–	1.01E-04	–	2.90E-04	–	3.00E-03	–	
	80	7.52E-06	3.05	1.19E-05	3.08	3.45E-05	3.07	7.15E-04	2.07	
	$\theta = 1.5$	160	9.32E-07	3.01	1.47E-06	3.02	4.25E-06	3.02	1.77E-04	2.02
	320	1.16E-07	3.00	1.82E-07	3.01	5.29E-07	3.01	4.41E-05	2.00	
$P^2$	20	6.89E-06	–	6.95E-06	–	1.40E-04	–	2.28E-03	–	
	40	1.71E-07	5.33	1.72E-07	5.34	8.42E-06	4.06	2.70E-04	3.07	
	$\theta = 0.8$	80	5.03E-09	5.08	5.06E-09	5.08	5.18E-07	4.02	3.32E-05	3.02
	160	1.56E-10	5.01	1.57E-10	5.01	3.22E-08	4.01	4.13E-06	3.00	
$P^3$	30	2.28E-09	–	2.34E-09	–	9.38E-07	–	3.85E-05	–	
	40	2.94E-10	7.13	3.01E-10	7.13	2.31E-07	4.86	1.26E-05	3.86	
	$\theta = 1.2$	50	6.05E-11	7.07	6.22E-11	7.07	7.56E-08	5.01	5.16E-06	4.02
	60	1.67E-11	7.04	1.72E-11	7.04	3.04E-08	4.99	2.49E-06	4.00	

682 The source term  $g(x, t)$  is specially chosen such that the exact solution is

683 
$$u(x, t) = \left[ \cos(\pi(x - 1)/2) - \frac{e^{(x-1)/b} - 1}{1 - e^{-1/b}} \right] \cos(\pi t). \tag{6.1}$$

684 When  $b = 100, T = 3$ , the errors and their orders are presented in Table 7, from which  
 685 we observe  $(2k + 1)$ th order superconvergence for the cell averages and the numerical fluxes  
 686 in the discrete  $L^2$  norm. Superconvergence of order  $k + 2$  ( $k + 1$ ) can be seen for the  
 687 function (derivative) value approximations and interior right (left) Radau points. This example  
 688 indicates that the superconvergence results are also sharp when mixed boundary conditions  
 689 are adopted.

Author Proof

**Table 7** Errors and rates for Example 6.3 with mixed boundary conditions,  $b = 100, T = 3$

	$N$	$\ e_{un}\ $	Rate	$\ e_u\ _c$	Rate	$\ e_{ur}\ $	Rate	$\ e_{u\ell}\ $	Rate
$P^1$	25	1.89E-08	–	2.46E-06	–	3.06E-06	–	4.76E-06	–
	30	1.09E-08	3.01	1.42E-06	3.00	1.77E-06	3.00	3.03E-06	2.47
	35	6.85E-09	3.01	8.94E-07	3.00	1.12E-06	3.00	2.08E-06	2.43
	40	4.58E-09	3.01	5.99E-07	3.00	7.48E-07	3.00	1.51E-06	2.39
$P^2$	10	3.02E-11	–	4.73E-11	–	2.27E-07	–	5.08E-07	–
	15	3.89E-12	5.05	6.27E-12	4.98	4.51E-08	3.99	1.25E-07	3.45
	20	8.05E-13	5.48	1.58E-12	4.80	1.43E-08	3.99	4.77E-08	3.36
	25	2.28E-13	5.65	5.46E-13	4.75	5.86E-09	4.00	2.28E-08	3.31

**Table 8** Errors and rates for Example 6.3 with Dirichlet boundary conditions,  $b = 1.5, T = 100$

	$N$	$\ e_{un}\ $	Rate	$\ e_u\ _c$	Rate	$\ e_{ur}\ $	Rate	$\ e_{u\ell}\ $	Rate
$P^1$	25	4.58E-07	–	3.12E-06	–	3.35E-06	–	1.74E-04	–
	30	2.65E-07	3.00	1.81E-06	3.00	1.94E-06	2.99	1.17E-04	2.18
	35	1.67E-07	3.00	1.14E-06	3.00	1.23E-06	2.99	8.38E-05	2.16
	40	1.12E-07	3.00	7.63E-07	3.00	8.22E-07	2.99	6.29E-05	2.14
$P^2$	10	1.74E-09	–	5.32E-09	–	3.89E-06	–	1.61E-04	–
	15	2.67E-10	4.62	6.93E-10	5.03	7.79E-07	3.96	4.84E-05	2.96
	20	7.48E-11	4.42	1.59E-10	5.11	2.48E-07	3.98	2.06E-05	2.97
	25	2.50E-11	4.92	5.77E-11	4.55	1.02E-07	3.98	1.06E-05	2.98

690 To verify superconvergence results for Dirichlet boundary conditions with long time sim-  
 691 ulations, we consider Example 6.3 with the following Dirichlet boundary conditions

692 
$$u(0, t) = \cos(\pi t), \quad u(1, t) = \cos(\pi t).$$

693 When  $b = 1.5, T = 100$ , the results with  $\kappa = 3.5/h$  in numerical fluxes (5.4) are shown in  
 694 Table 8, demonstrating that the conclusions still hold for Dirichlet boundary conditions and  
 695 long time simulations.

696 **Example 6.4** To illustrate the time-dependent singularly perturbed problems with a stationary  
 697 outflow boundary layer, we would like to consider a nonlinear problem

698 
$$u_t + (e^u)_x - bu_{xx} = g(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in [0, 1] \times (0, T],$$

699 with Dirichlet boundary conditions and the same exact solution as (6.1). The initial solution  
 700 and the source term  $g(x, t)$  is determined by this exact solution. Note that when we take  
 701  $b = 10^{-5}$ , the solution (6.1) varies quickly with a large gradient and forms an outflow  
 702 boundary layer near the outflow boundary point  $x = 1$ . When the Gauss–Radau projection  
 703  $P_h^- u$  is used as the initial condition, we observe errors and the corresponding superconvergent  
 704 rates in the local region [13]

705 
$$(0, x_c) = (0, 1 - \lceil \ln N \rceil h),$$

706 where  $\lceil \ln N \rceil$  denotes the minimal integer no less than  $\ln N$ . The results with  $\kappa = 2/h$   
 707 in numerical fluxes (5.4) are shown in Table 9, from which we can see superconvergence

Author Proof

**Table 9** Local errors and rates for Example 6.4 with Dirichlet boundary conditions,  $b = 10^{-5}$ ,  $T = 2$

	$N$	$\ e_{un}\ $	Rate	$\ e_u\ _c$	Rate	$\ e_{ur}\ $	Rate	$\ e_{u\ell}\ $	Rate
$P^1$	20	5.75E-07	–	1.96E-06	–	6.32E-06	–	3.51E-04	–
	40	7.20E-08	3.00	2.45E-07	3.00	7.90E-07	3.00	8.75E-05	2.00
	80	9.24E-09	2.96	3.06E-08	3.00	9.86E-08	3.00	2.18E-05	2.00
	160	1.18E-09	2.96	3.82E-09	3.00	1.23E-08	3.00	5.45E-06	2.00
$P^2$	20	1.76E-09	–	2.71E-10	–	5.52E-08	–	4.45E-06	–
	40	1.74E-11	6.66	7.63E-12	5.15	3.53E-09	3.97	5.75E-07	2.95
	60	2.34E-12	4.94	1.35E-12	4.27	7.00E-10	3.99	1.72E-07	2.97
	80	3.29E-13	6.82	2.78E-13	5.48	2.22E-10	3.99	7.31E-08	2.98

property similar to the nonlinear hyperbolic equations [4] in the local region  $(0, x_c)$ . This is, both the cell averages error and numerical flux in the discrete  $L^2$  norm converge at a rate of  $2k + 1$ , and the LDG error (its derivative) is superconvergent at interior right (left) Radau points with an order of  $k + 2$  ( $k + 1$ ).

## 7 Concluding Remarks

In this paper, we investigate superconvergence of the LDG method for one-dimensional nonlinear convection-diffusion equations. The main techniques are the construction of new projections and correction functions, allowing us to derive a supercloseness result between the LDG solution and an interpolation function. We have established  $(2k + 1)$ th order superconvergence for the numerical flux and cell averages, as well as superconvergence at Radau points, even when the flow direction changes. The results are extended to generalized alternating fluxes and mixed boundary conditions. The sharpness of the theoretical results is verified by numerical experiments.

In further work, we will consider the degenerate nonlinear diffusion problems and multi-dimensional diffusion equations.

## Declarations

**Conflict of Interest** On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

## References

- Boffi, D., Brezzi, F., Fortin, M.: Mixed finite element methods and applications, Springer Series in Computational Mathematics, vol. 44. Springer, Heidelberg (2013)
- Cao, W., Huang, Q.: Superconvergence of local discontinuous Galerkin methods for partial differential equations with higher order derivatives. J. Sci. Comput. **72**(2), 761–791 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10915-017-0377-z>
- Cao, W., Li, D., Yang, Y., Zhang, Z.: Superconvergence of discontinuous Galerkin methods based on upwind-biased fluxes for 1D linear hyperbolic equations. ESAIM Math. Model. Numer. Anal. **51**(2), 467–486 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1051/m2an/2016026>

Author Proof

- 735 4. Cao, W., Shu, C.W., Yang, Y., Zhang, Z.: Superconvergence of discontinuous Galerkin method for scalar  
736 nonlinear hyperbolic equations. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **56**(2), 732–765 (2018). [https://doi.org/10.1137/](https://doi.org/10.1137/17M1128605)  
737 [17M1128605](https://doi.org/10.1137/17M1128605)
- 738 5. Cao, W., Shu, C.W., Zhang, Z.: Superconvergence of discontinuous Galerkin methods for 1-D linear  
739 hyperbolic equations with degenerate variable coefficients. *ESAIM Math. Model. Numer. Anal.* **51**(6),  
740 2213–2235 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1051/m2an/2017026>
- 741 6. Cao, W., Zhang, Z.: Superconvergence of local discontinuous Galerkin methods for one-dimensional  
742 linear parabolic equations. *Math. Comp.* **85**(297), 63–84 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1090/mcom/2975>
- 743 7. Cao, W., Zhang, Z., Zou, Q.: Superconvergence of discontinuous Galerkin methods for linear hyperbolic  
744 equations. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **52**(5), 2555–2573 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1137/130946873>
- 745 8. Castillo, P.: A superconvergence result for discontinuous Galerkin methods applied to elliptic problems.  
746 *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng.* **192**(41–42), 4675–4685 (2003). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-7825(03)00445-6)  
747 [7825\(03\)00445-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-7825(03)00445-6)
- 748 9. Castillo, P., Gómez, S.: On the conservation of fractional nonlinear Schrödinger equation's invariants by  
749 the local discontinuous Galerkin method. *J. Sci. Comput.* **77**(3), 1444–1467 (2018). [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10915-018-0708-8)  
750 [1007/s10915-018-0708-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10915-018-0708-8)
- 751 10. Celiker, F., Cockburn, B.: Superconvergence of the numerical traces of discontinuous Galerkin and  
752 hybridized methods for convection-diffusion problems in one space dimension. *Math. Comp.* **76**(257),  
753 67–96 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0025-5718-06-01895-3>
- 754 11. Cheng, Y., Meng, X., Zhang, Q.: Application of generalized Gauss-Radau projections for the local dis-  
755 continuous Galerkin method for linear convection-diffusion equations. *Math. Comp.* **86**(305), 1233–1267  
756 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1090/mcom/3141>
- 757 12. Cheng, Y., Shu, C.W.: Superconvergence of discontinuous Galerkin and local discontinuous Galerkin  
758 schemes for linear hyperbolic and convection-diffusion equations in one space dimension. *SIAM J.*  
759 *Numer. Anal.* **47**(6), 4044–4072 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1137/090747701>
- 760 13. Cheng, Y., Zhang, F., Zhang, Q.: Local analysis of local discontinuous Galerkin method for the time-  
761 dependent singularly perturbed problem. *J. Sci. Comput.* **63**(2), 452–477 (2015). [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10915-014-9901-6)  
762 [s10915-014-9901-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10915-014-9901-6)
- 763 14. Cockburn, B., Guzmán, J., Wang, H.: Superconvergent discontinuous Galerkin methods for second-order  
764 elliptic problems. *Math. Comp.* **78**(265), 1–24 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0025-5718-08-02146-7>
- 765 15. Cockburn, B., Kanschat, G., Schotzau, D.: A locally conservative LDG method for the incompressible  
766 Navier-Stokes equations. *Math. Comp.* **74**(251), 1067–1095 (2005). [https://doi.org/10.1090/S0025-5718-](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0025-5718-04-01718-1)  
767 [04-01718-1](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0025-5718-04-01718-1)
- 768 16. Cockburn, B., Luskin, M., Shu, C.W., Süli, E.: Enhanced accuracy by post-processing for finite element  
769 methods for hyperbolic equations. *Math. Comp.* **72**(242), 577–606 (2003). [https://doi.org/10.1090/](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0025-5718-02-01464-3)  
770 [S0025-5718-02-01464-3](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0025-5718-02-01464-3)
- 771 17. Cockburn, B., Shu, C.W.: The local discontinuous Galerkin method for time-dependent convection-  
772 diffusion systems. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **35**(6), 2440–2463 (1998). [https://doi.org/10.1137/](https://doi.org/10.1137/S0036142997316712)  
773 [S0036142997316712](https://doi.org/10.1137/S0036142997316712)
- 774 18. Guo, W., Zhong, X., Qiu, J.M.: Superconvergence of discontinuous Galerkin and local discontinuous  
775 Galerkin methods: eigen-structure analysis based on Fourier approach. *J. Comput. Phys.* **235**, 458–485  
776 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2012.10.020>
- 777 19. Ji, L., Xu, Y., Ryan, J.K.: Accuracy-enhancement of discontinuous Galerkin solutions for convection-  
778 diffusion equations in multiple-dimensions. *Math. Comp.* **81**(280), 1929–1950 (2012). [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0025-5718-2012-02586-5)  
779 [1090/S0025-5718-2012-02586-5](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0025-5718-2012-02586-5)
- 780 20. Meng, X., Ryan, J.K.: Divided difference estimates and accuracy enhancement of discontinuous Galerkin  
781 methods for nonlinear symmetric systems of hyperbolic conservation laws. *IMA J. Numer. Anal.* **38**(1),  
782 125–155 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1093/imanum/drw072>
- 783 21. Meng, X., Shu, C.W., Wu, B.: Optimal error estimates for discontinuous Galerkin methods based on  
784 upwind-biased fluxes for linear hyperbolic equations. *Math. Comp.* **85**(299), 1225–1261 (2016). [https://](https://doi.org/10.1090/mcom/3022)  
785 [doi.org/10.1090/mcom/3022](https://doi.org/10.1090/mcom/3022)
- 786 22. Meng, X., Shu, C.W., Zhang, Q., Wu, B.: Superconvergence of discontinuous Galerkin methods for scalar  
787 nonlinear conservation laws in one space dimension. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **50**(5), 2336–2356 (2012).  
788 <https://doi.org/10.1137/110857635>
- 789 23. Yang, Y., Shu, C.W.: Analysis of sharp superconvergence of local discontinuous Galerkin method for  
790 one-dimensional linear parabolic equations. *J. Comput. Math.* **33**(3), 323–340 (2015). [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.4208/jcm.1502-m2014-0001)  
791 [4208/jcm.1502-m2014-0001](https://doi.org/10.4208/jcm.1502-m2014-0001)
- 792 24. Zhang, Q., Shu, C.W.: Stability analysis and a priori error estimates of the third order explicit Runge-Kutta  
793 discontinuous Galerkin method for scalar conservation laws. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **48**(3), 1038–1063  
794 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1137/090771363>

795 **Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and  
796 institutional affiliations.

Author Proof

uncorrected proof